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## PREFACE

Revised regulations governing the fire separation of buildings were proposed for inclusion in the 1960 edition of the National Building Code. The Committee which was assigned the responsibility for preparation of the new Part 9 - Housing, directed that a study should be undertaken to determine what effect the proposed regulations would have on typical housing subdivisions. Accordingly the author, with the cooperation of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation carried out such a study of a selected number of existing subdivisions for which complete plans were available, and the results are now reported.

The author, an architect and a research officer with the Building Standards Section of the Division, has been engaged in special studies of fire regulations in the National Building Code.

Ottawa, February 1962
N. B. Hutcheon, Assistant Director.

# IN T YPICAL HOUSING SUBDIVISIONS 

by

M. Galbreath

The spread of fire from building to building carries with it the danger of general conflagration beyond the control of the fire-fighting services. Conflagrations have occurred at one time or another in most large cities and urban areas. They have generally been followed by regulations designed to improve the fire safety of the city.

Masonry fire walls have long been in use as effective fire barriers, and open space has also been recognized as a contribution to fire safety (5). Recent work by the building research organizations in Canada and Britain has led to the development of means whereby the effectiveness of open space as a barrier to fire can be evaluated.

Fire can be transmitted between buildings by flying brands, convection and radiation. The hazard of flying brands is dependent on the wind velocity and on the materials of construction. Convection can only occur within comparatively short distances, and is also much influenced by wind. These can be controlled by regulations governing construction most of which are contained in building bylaws. Ignition by radiation can take place over comparatively long distances. A rise in the temperature of combustible surfaces on an exposed building can take place, almost unnoticed, until conditions are such that combustion will take place.

The radiation hazard is directly related to the size and distribution of the openings in exterior walls and to the clear space between buildings. The prediction of radiation, based on measurements taken during the experimental burning of buildings at Aultsville (1), has been used as the basis of tables included in the National Building Code 1960 (3) which provide a uniform radiation hazard between buildings.

Regulations prepared in the Division of Building Research and intended for inclusion in the 1960 edition of the National Building Code were considered by the Committee on Part 9: Housing, in July 1960. The Committee suggested that a study be undertaken to determine the effect of the proposed regulations on typical housing subdivisions. It was recommended that a number of housing plans should be examined in the light of the proposed separations, and a report prepared. At a
later meeting held at Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation between representatives of the Building Standards Section of the Division of Building Research, and CMHC, it was agreed that the investigations should be conducted by the author who would examine a number of house plans and layouts and report on the effect of the proposed regulations. Mr. Michael Pine of CMHC, as representative of the Corporation, was to procure plans of subdivisions.

Following this directive, plans were obtained from CMHC offices of houses under construction in Ottawa, Scarborough, Don Mills, Ontario, and of low rental projects designed by CMHC architects in Vancouver and in St. John's Newfoundland. The projects were divided in three groups as follows:

Group 1 - illustrated house plans from CMHC booklet 'Small House Designs" (2).

Group 2 - detached single-family dwellings in typical subdivisions:
Lynwood Village, near Ottawa
Bel Air Heights, Ottawa
Dorset Park, Scarborough.
Group 3 - row houses:
F. P. Project 6/55 St. John's, Newfoundland Nanaimo Street Project, Vancouver, B. C. Flemingdon Park, Don Mills, Ontario.

The regulations prepared for the National Building Code 1960 (3) relate area of windows to clear space between buildings so that during the initial period of a fire, the radiation of adjacent buildings will not exceed a level at which combustible materials would be likely to ignite. The radiation levels selected are such that conditions dangerous to adjacent buildings should not occur for a period ranging from 16 to 25 min after the start of the fire i. e., sufficient time to allow the firemen to come to the site. The separations suggested apply to buildings in municipalities with fire-fighting services. In outlying districts without these facilities, the spaces should be increased at least twice those recorded.

The table setting out the recommended fire separations is presented in Appendix A. To apply this table to buildings, the following information must be obtained:

1. Clear space between the wall of the building and property boundary or mid-point between two buildings.
2. Areas of the building wall exposed to property boundary.
3. Total area of windows in the exposing wall.
4. The shape of the wall measured as the ratio length of wall space to height of wall space.

The area of wall is measured within one fire compartment as it is assumed that the fire will not spread beyond the compartment in which it started at least in the critical development period; e. g., in an apartment building, the area of wall considered would be the face of one dwelling unit since the Code requires one-hr fire separation between each unit.

Group 1 (Appendix B) contains a number of examples of fire separation calculations as they apply to the illustrations of dwellings selected from CMHC booklet "Small House Designs" (2). The illustrations give an indication of how the percentage window area relates to the elevations of typical single-family dwellings. The percentage window area on side elevations varies in the examples chosen from 3 to 29 per cent distributed as follows:

Elevations having window area $0-10$ per cent of the wall 11 examples
Elevations having window area 10-20 per cent of the wall

- examples

Elevations having window area 20-30 per cent of the wall
4 examples
An application of the requirements for space separation contained in the 1960 National Building Code (3) to these examples shows that of the 21 elevations illustrated:

10 would require greater separation than that required by present CMHC standards (4),
8 would require the same separation as required by present CMHC standards (4), and
3 would require less separation than that required by present CMHC standards (4).

An examination of the illustrations shows that where the area of windows is less than 8 per cent of the wall area, the $4-\mathrm{ft}$ setback at present required by CMHC (4) is generally satisfactory. Considering only this limited group, it would appear that the significant area of windows varies between 8 and 30 per cent and that most of these would require, if the NBC tables were applied, separations greater than those now required by CMHC (4). The exceptions are in the two-story houses with small side windows. In these cases, CMHC require a 6 -ft side yard; the proposed regulations would only require a $4-\mathrm{ft}$ side yard.

Group 2 (Appendix C) is a study of the separations in a number of selected detached dwellings in typical recently erected subdivisions.

Plans of all the dwellings were available from CMHC offices. The subdivisions were typical builder-developed housing groups in Ottawa and Scarborough, Ontario.

In the 19 examples of side and rear elevations chosen, the proportion of window to wall area varied as follows:

|  | 0 - 10 per cent of the wall area | 13 examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elevations with a window area | 10 - 20 per cent of the wall area | 5 examples |
| Elevations with a window area | $20-30$ per cent of the wall area | 1 example |

In these detached dwellings the separations required by the 1960 Code (3) are compared with those provided in the subdivisions and with those which would be required by present CMHC regulations (4). The results of this comparison are as follows:

2 would require greater separation than that provided in the subdivision
6 would require the same separation as that provided in the subdivision
11 would require less separation than that provided in the subdivision.
5 would require greater separation than that required by present CMHC standards
11 would require the same separation as required by present CMHC standards
3 would require less separation than that required by present CMHC standards.

In these dwellings, all on 40 - to $50-\mathrm{ft}$ lots and built under CMHC regulations which insure minimum side yards, there are few examples of separations that might be considered a fire hazard, except in cases where large windows have been introduced on the side walls or where a standard house on a corner lot has been placed so that the rear elevation is parallel and close to a side property line. There are few examples where the window area exceeds 30 per cent of the wall area. There is a general tendency, however, for the CMHC standards to be less than that proposed as a fire separation. On the other hand, it appears. that, in general, the builders have provided space about their buildings greater than that required by CMHC.

Group 3 (Appendix D) contains four examples of separation calculations applied to recent row housing. In these examples there are
no side yards; bylaw requirements for fire walls would be met but there is the possibility of spread of fire between facing rows if these are too close together. Of the examples studied the proportion of windows to wall area was as follows:

|  | wall area | 1 example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elevations with a window area 20-30 per cent of the |  |  |
|  | wall area | 2 examples |
| Elevations with a window area $40-50$ per cent of the |  |  |
|  | wall area | 1 example |

In every case the separation provided is greater than that required for fire safety.

The dwellings in Flemingdon Park are of an original design with rows of dwellings facing across a pedestrian mall with underground access to private garages. In these examples the greatest separation required for the radiation hazard is less than the 35 ft provided. This 35 ft may have been dictated by CMHC regulations which require a 15 -ft front yard to each dwelling plus 5 ft for the footpath access.

This study should be regarded as a pilot project. More intensive study of a wider range of buildings is required to obtain statistically reliable information. The random selection illustrated does, however, give an indication of certain trends. In the field of housing it may be expected that in many cases the window area would be less than 8 per cent of the wall area and consequently there will be little fire hazard. Most of the problems will occur in the region between 10 and 30 per cent; the tables of fire separation should perhaps be expanded to give more information in this area. In general it appears that there is a tendency to exceed present CMHC standards where the window area exceeds 8 per cent of the wall area for the proposed separation but it also appears that in typical subdivisions, the CMHC requirements are exceeded in practice for reasons other than fire safety. There is reason, therefore, to suppose that the proposed separations would not impose undue hardship on builders but would help to discourage undesirable practices such as provision of picture windows on side elevations.
(1) McGuire, J.H. St. Lawrence burns - radiometer measurements. National Research Council, Division of Building Research, Internal Report No. 153, Ottawa, December 1959.
(2) Small house designs. Prepared by Canadian architects for Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation. Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation, Ottawa, October 1958.
(3) National Building Code of Canada 1960. National Research Council, Associate Committee on the National Building Code, Ottawa, 1960. NRC No. 5800.
(4) Housing Standards, National Research Council, Division of Building Research, Ottawa, January 1958.
(5) National Building Code of Canada 1953. National Research Council, Associate Committee on the National Building Code, Ottawa, 1953. NRC No. 3188.

MAXIMUM AREA OF UNPROTECTED OPENINGS IN A BUILDING FACE

${ }^{4} L_{0}=$ Length of building face; $H=$ height of building face.

## NOTE

For intermediate limiting distances the permissible area of unprotected openings can be obtained by interpolation.

## APPENDIX B

Group (1) - CMHC SMALL HOUSE DESIGNS
(1) Separations compared with CMHC Standards (Housing Standards, Jan. 1958)
(a) No. of elevations that require greater separation than that required by CMHC Standards
(b) No. of elevations that require the same separation as that required by CMHC Standards
(c) No. of elevations that require less separation than that required by CMHC Standards3

TOTAL
(2) Proportion of window to wall area
(a) Window area 0 to 10 per cent of wall area in
(b) Window area 10 to 20 per cent of wall area in
(c) Window area 20 to 30 per cent of wall area in

11 elevations
6 elevations
4 elevations

TOTAL

## CMHC DESIGN 133



Left Elevation

| Building Type | Two-Bedroom Bungalow, | No Basement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wall Area | $24^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ | 220 sqft |
| Window Area |  | 24 sq ft |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 11 per cent |  |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |  |
| Required Separation | 5 ft |  |



Right Elevation
Wall Area $\quad 24^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{1} \quad=\quad 220 \mathrm{sqft}$

Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
CMHC Standards
Required Separation

12 sqft
5. 4 per cent

4 ft
4 ft

## CMHC DESIGN 139



Left Elevation

| Building Type | Two-Bedroom Bungalow |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Wall Area | $30^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ |  |
| Window Area |  | 365 sq ft |
| Required Separation | 48 sq ft |  |
| CMHC Standards | 7 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 4 ft |  |
| R |  | 13.5 per cent |



Right Elevation
Wall Area $\quad 25^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} \quad=\quad 253 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Window Area
33 sq ft
Required Separation
6 ft
CMHC Standards
4 ft
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
13 per cent

## CMHC DESIGN 218



Right Elevation



Left Elevation

| Wall Area $\quad 27^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$ | $=270 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Window Area | 20 sqft |
| Required Separation | 4 ft |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 7.4 per cent |

## CMHC DESIGN 285



## Left Elevation

| Building Type | Three-Bedroom Bungalow |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wall Area | $32^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ | 288 sq ft |
| Window Area |  | 28 sq ft |
| Required Separation |  |  |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 4 ft |  |



Right Elevation

| Wall Area $\quad 32^{\prime} \times 9^{\prime}$ | $=$ | 288 sqft |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Window Area |  | 20 sq ft |
| Required Separation | 4 ft |  |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 6.9 per cent |  |

## CMHC DESIGN 275



| Right Elevation |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type | Three-Bedroom Bungalow |  |
| Wall Area | $35^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ |  |
| Window Area |  | 390 sq ft |
| Required Separation | 96 sq ft |  |
| CMHC Standards | 9 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 4 ft |  |



Left Elevation

| Wall Area | $35^{\prime}-5^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{1}$ | $=390 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Window Area | 40 sqft |  |
| Required Separation | 4 ft |  |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 10.2 per cent |  |

## CMHC DESIGN 233



Right Elevation

| Building Type | Three-Bedroom Bungalow |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wall Area | 35'-1' x 8' | $=$ | 281 sq ft |
| Window Area |  |  | 75 sq ft |
| Required Separation |  |  | 9 ft |
| CMHC Standards |  |  | 4 ft |
| Ratio Unprotected A | rea/Wall Area |  | 27 per cent |



Left Elevation

| Wall Area $\quad 33^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 8^{\prime}$ | $=$ | 264 sq ft |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Window Area |  | 45 sq ft |
| Required Separation |  | 6 ft |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area |  | 17.2 per cent |

## CMHC DESIGN 751



Left Elevation

| Building Type | Three-Bedroom Split Level |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Wall Area | $27^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime}$ | $=$ |
| Window Area |  | 330 sqft |
| Required Separation | 45 sqft |  |
| CMHC Standards | 7 ft |  |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 4 ft |  |



Right Elevation
Wall Area
23'-6" x 12'
$=$
282 sq ft
Window Area
Required Separation
24 sq ft

CMHC Standards
4 ft

Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
4 ft
8. 5 per cent

CMHC DESIGN 704


Left Elevation


Other Elevation has no Windows

## CMHC DESIGN 606



Left Elevation

| Building Type | Four-Bedroom House |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wall Area | $32^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime}=585 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ |

Window Area 120 sqft

Required Separation
CMHC Standards 6 ft

Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
20. 5 per cent


Right Elevation
Wall Area
32'-6" x 18'
$=$
585 sq ft
Window Area
48 sq ft
Required Separation
4 ft
CMHC Standards
6 ft
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
8. 2 per cent

## CMHC DESIGN 604



Left Elevation

Building Type
Wall Area
Window Area
Required Separation
CMHC Standards
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area

Right Elevation
Wall Area
24'-4' x 17'
$=$
413 sq ft
Window Area
Required Separation
CMHC Standards
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area


29 sq ft
4 ft
6 ft
7 per cent

413 sq ft
16 sq ft
4 ft
6 ft
3.9 per cent

## CMHC DESIGN 760



Building Type Three-Bedroom Split Level
Wall Area $\quad 39^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} \quad=\quad 477 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

Window Area 134 sq ft

Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
28.5 per cent

CMHC Standards
Required Separation

4 ft from face of Part 3
11 ft from face of Part 3.

Considering only the parts of the elevation having windows (Parts 1 and 2)
Wall Area $27^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$
$=$
312 sqft
Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
CMHC Standards
Required Separation

134 sq ft
43 per cent
14 ft from face of Part 1
10'-6" from face of Part 3.

Considering each part of the elevation separately,
Part 1
Wall Area $\quad 21^{\prime} \times 12^{\prime}=252 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Window Area
80 sq ft
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
Required Separation
31.5 per cent

11 ft from face of Part 1.

Part 2
Wall Area $6^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}$
$=\quad 60 \mathrm{sqft}$
Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
54 sqft

Required Separation
90 per cent
$19 \mathrm{ft}^{*}$ from face of Part 2.

* This figure is not reliable because the tables do not go below a wall area of 300 sq ft .

Part 3 No separation required.
CMHC Standard
4 ft from face of Part 3
Effective Separation
13 ft from face of Part 3.

## CMHC DESIGN 760



Left Elevation

| Wall Area $\quad 39^{\prime}-9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{12'}$ | $=477 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Window Area | 72 sqft |
| Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 15.3 per cent |
| CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
| Required Separation | 7 ft |

## APPENDIX C

Group (2) DETACHED DWELLINGS IN TYPICAL SUBDIVISIONS
Lynwood Village, near OttawaBel Air Heights, OttawaDorset Park, Scarborough
(1) Separations compared with those existing
(a) No. of elevations that require greater separation than that provided in subdivision ..... 2
(b) No. of elevations that require the same separation as that provided in the subdivision ..... 6
(c) No. of elevations that require less separation than that provided in the subdivision ..... 11
TOTAL ..... 19
(2) Separation compared with CMHC Standards (Housing Standards, Jan. 1958)(a) No. of elevations that require greater separation thanthat required by CNiHC Standards5
(b) No. of elevations that require the same separation as that required by CMHC Standards ..... 11
(c) No. of elevations that require less separation than that required by CMHC Standards ..... 3
TOTAL ..... 19
(3) Proportion of window to wall area
(a) Window area 0 to 10 per cent of wall area in
(b) Window area 10 to 20 per cent of wall area in
(c) Window area 20 to 30 per cent of wall area in

13 elevations
5 elevations
1 elevation

## Plans by Teron Construction

| Project: | Lynwood Village, near Ottawa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Single-Story House (Mayfair 1) |  |
| Elevation 1: | Wall Area $44^{\prime} \mathrm{x}$ l1' | 484 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 71 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 14.7 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 4 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 7 ft |

## Plans by Teron Construction

| Project: | Lynwood Village, near Ottawa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Single-Story House (Tivoli III) |  |
| Elevation 1: | Wall Area 38' x 111 = | 418 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 28.5 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 6.8 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 6 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |

## Plans by Campeau Construction

| Project: | Bel Air Heights Subdivision, Ottawa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Split Level House (Plan B4) |  |
|  | House on corner lot rear facing side property line |  |
| Elevation 1$\begin{aligned} & \text { (Rear): Wall Area } \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & 20^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime} \\ & 27^{\prime} \times 15^{\prime} \end{aligned} \quad=\quad 625 \mathrm{sqft}$ |  |  |
|  | Window Area | 93 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 15 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 6 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 8 ft |

## Plans by Campeau Construction

| Project: | Bel Air Heights Subdivision, Ottawa |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Single-Story Bungalow <br> (House Plan B. 18) |  |
| Elevation 1: | Wall Area $\quad 38^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}=$ | 442.75 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 22.6 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 5 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 4 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |

## Plans by Campeau Construction

| Project: | Bel Air Heights Subdivision, Ottawa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Split Level House (Plan B17) |  |
|  | Rear of House facing side property line |  |
| Elevation 1: | Wall Area $21^{\prime} \times 15^{1}$ = | 579 sq ft |
|  | $24^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ |  |
|  | Window Area | 88.25 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 15.2 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 8 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 7 ft |

## Plans by Campeau Construction

Project: Bel Air Heights Subdivision, Ottawa
Building Type: Detached Single-Story Bungalow
Elevation 1

| (left) : | Wall Area $49^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime} \times$ | 539 sq ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Window Area | 97.20 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 18 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 10 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 9 ft |

Taking the two parts of Elevation 1 separately.
Elevation (la): Wall Area $30^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 11^{\prime} \quad=\quad 330 \mathrm{sqft}$
Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
31.5 sqft
9.5 per cent

Separation Provided 10 ft

CMHC Standards
4 ft
Required Separation 5 ft
Elevation (lb): Wall Area $\quad 19^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime} \quad=\quad 209 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
set back 6.5 ft
from wall face
Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area
Separation Provided
65.7 sq ft

CMHC Standards
31 per cent
16.5 ft

Required Separation
4 ft
10 ft
Elevation 2

| (right) | Wall Area $49^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 11^{\prime}$ | 539 sq ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Window Area | 40.5 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 7.5 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 12 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |

## Plans by Pugh Bros. Construction Ltd.

| Project: | Dorset Park Subdivision, Scarborough, Ont. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: |  |  |
| Right Elevation: | Wall Area $37^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}=$ | 338 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 28 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 8. 3 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 4 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |
| Left Elevation: | Wall Area $37^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime}=$ | 338 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 69 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 20.4 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 8 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 8 ft |

## Plans by Speiran

| Project: | Dorset Park Subdivision, Scarborough, Ont. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Detached Single-Story Bungalow |  |
| Left Elevation: | Wall Area $46^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}=$ | 463 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 45 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 9.7 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 4 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |
| Right Elevation: | Wall Area $46^{\prime}-3^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime}=$ | 463 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 20 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 4.3 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 8 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |
|  | Plans by Speiran |  |
| Project: | Dorset Park Subdivision, Scarborough, Ont. |  |
| Building Type: | Detached Single-Story Bungalow |  |
| Left Elevation: | Wall Area $46^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}=$ | 460 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 33 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 7.1 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 4 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |
| Right Elevation: | Wall Area $46^{\prime} \times 10^{\prime}=$ | 460 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 36 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 7.8 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 8 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 4 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |

## Plans by Pugh Bros. Construction Ltd.



## Plans by Pugh Bros. Construction Ltd.



## Plans by Pugh Bros. Construction Ltd.

Project: Dorset Park Subdivision, Scarborough, Ont.
Building Type: Detached Four-Bedroom - $1 \frac{1}{2}$-Story House Right Elevation: Wall Area $\quad 36^{\prime} \mathrm{x} 18^{\prime} \quad=\quad 648 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

Window Area 12 sq ft
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area 2 per cent
Separation Provided 6 ft
CMHC Standards 6 ft
Required Separation 4 ft

| Left Elevation: | Wall Area 34' $\times 18^{\prime}$ = | 612 sq ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Window Area | 22 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 3.6 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided | 8 ft |
|  | CMHC Standards | 6 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 4 ft |

## APPENDIX D

Group (3) ROW HOUSING PROJECTS
F.P. Project 6/55 St. John's, Newfoundland Nanaimo St. Project, Vancouver B. C. Flemingdon Park, Don Mills, Ontario
(1) Separations compared with those existing
(a) No. of elevations that require greater separation than that provided in the subdivisions0
(b) No. of elevations that require the same separation as that provided in the subdivisions0

(c) No. of elevations that require less separation over that
provided in the subdivisions

4

4
(2) Proportion of window to wall area
(a) Window area 10 to 20 per cent of wall area
(b) Window area 20 to 30 per cent of wall area
(c) Window area 30 to 40 per cent of wall area

1 elevation
(d) Window area 40 to 50 per cent of wall area

2 elevations
0
1 elevation

## CMHC Plans

| Project: | Nanaimo Street Project, Vancouver, B. C. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type: | Row House |  |
| Elevation A: | Wall Area $22^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ | 396 sq ft |
|  | Window Area | 69.25 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 17.5 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided 30 ft to adjoining building | 15 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 9 ft |

## CMHC Plans

Project: F.P. Project 6/55, St. John's, Newfoundland
Building Type: Row House

| Elevation A : | Wall Area $23^{\prime}-4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}=$ | 408 sq ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Window Area | 110 sqft |
|  | Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area | 27 per cent |
|  | Separation Provided 35 ft to next building | 17.5 ft |
|  | Required Separation | 12 ft |

## Plans by Irving Grossman, Architect

Project: Flemingdon Park, Don Mills, Ontario
Building Type: Row House B
Elevation 1: Wall Area $\quad 16.5^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}=297 \mathrm{sqft}$
Window Area
Ratio Unprotected Area/Wall Area 29 per cent
Separation Provided 35 ft to adjoining property
17.5 ft

Required Separation
10 ft

Plans by Irving Grossman, Architect
Project: Flemingdon Park, Don Mills, Ontario
Building Type: Row House A

| Elevation 1: | Wall Area | 14.5' x ${ }^{18}{ }^{\prime}$ | 261 sq ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Windows |  | 109.45 sq ft |
|  | Ratio Unpr | d Area/Wall | 42 per cent |
|  | Separation | ided 35 ft to bu | 17.5 ft |
|  | Required S | tion: | 12 ft |

