



## NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

### **Synergistic repression of the embryonic program by SET DOMAIN GROUP 8 and EMBRYONIC FLOWER 2 in Arabidopsis seedlings**

Tang, Xurong; Lim, Myung-Ho; Pelletier, Julie; Tang, Mingjuan; Nguyen, Vi; Keller, Wilfred A.; Tsang, Edward W. T.; Wang, Aiming; Rothstein, Steven J.; Harada, John J.; Cui, Yuhai

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below. / Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

#### **Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:**

<https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err383>

*Journal of Experimental Botany*, 63, 3, pp. 1391-1404, 2011-12-07

#### **NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:**

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object?id=71df1561-880b-4484-be11-cc2e34e96d69>

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet?id=71df1561-880b-4484-be11-cc2e34e96d69>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at

<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site

<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

#### **Questions?** Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.



**Synergistic Repression of the Embryonic Program by SET DOMAIN GROUP 8 and EMBRYONIC FLOWER 2 in Arabidopsis Seedlings**

Xurong Tang<sup>1,2,3</sup> ([xurongtang@hotmail.com](mailto:xurongtang@hotmail.com)), Myung-Ho Lim<sup>1,4</sup> ([mlim312@rda.go.kr](mailto:mlim312@rda.go.kr)), Julie Pelletier<sup>5</sup> ([jpelletier@ucdavis.edu](mailto:jpelletier@ucdavis.edu)), Mingjuan Tang<sup>1</sup> ([tangmingjuan@ibcas.ac.cn](mailto:tangmingjuan@ibcas.ac.cn)), Vi Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, ([vi.nguyen@agr.gc.ca](mailto:vi.nguyen@agr.gc.ca)), Wilfred A. Keller<sup>2</sup> ([wkeller@genomeprairie.ca](mailto:wkeller@genomeprairie.ca)), Edward W.T. Tsang<sup>2</sup> ([ed.tsang@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca](mailto:ed.tsang@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca)), Aiming Wang<sup>1</sup> ([aiming.wang@agr.gc.ca](mailto:aiming.wang@agr.gc.ca)), Steven J. Rothstein<sup>3</sup> ([rothstei@uoguelph.ca](mailto:rothstei@uoguelph.ca)), John J. Harada<sup>5</sup> ([jjharada@ucdavis.edu](mailto:jjharada@ucdavis.edu)), and Yuhai Cui<sup>1,\*</sup> ([yuhai.cui@agr.gc.ca](mailto:yuhai.cui@agr.gc.ca))

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Southern Crop Protection and Food Research Centre, London, Ontario N5V 4T3, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Plant Biotechnology Institute, National Research Council of Canada, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 0W9, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, National Academy of Agricultural Science, Rural Development Administration, 150 Suin-ro, Gwonseon-gu, Suwon 441-707, Korea.

<sup>5</sup>Section of Plant Biology, College of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [yuhai.cui@agr.gc.ca](mailto:yuhai.cui@agr.gc.ca); Phone: 1-519-457-1470; Fax: 1-519-457-3997

**Date of submission: 9 June 2011**

**Contains 1 table and 7 Figures**

**Running Title:** Synergy of SDG8 and EMF2 at Seed Genes

## 1    **ABSTRACT**

2    The seed maturation program occurs only during the late phase of embryo development  
3    and repression of the maturation genes is pivotal for seedling development. However,  
4    mechanisms that repress the expression of this program in vegetative tissues are not well  
5    understood. A genetic screen was performed for mutants that express maturation genes in  
6    leaves. Here, it is shown that mutations affecting SDG8 (SET DOMAIN GROUP 8), a  
7    putative histone methyltransferase, causes ectopic expression of a subset of maturation  
8    genes in leaves. Further, to investigate the relationship between SDG8 and the Polycomb  
9    Group (PcG) proteins, which are known to repress many developmentally important  
10    genes including seed maturation genes, double mutants were made and formation of  
11    somatic embryos was observed on mutant seedlings with mutations in both *SDG8* and  
12    *EMF2* (*EMBRYONIC FLOWER 2*). Analysis of histone methylation status at the  
13    chromatin sites of a number of maturation loci revealed synergistic effect of *emf2* and  
14    *sdg8* on the deposition of the active histone mark which is the trimethylation of lysine 4  
15    on histone 3 (H3K4me3). This is consistent with high expression of these genes and  
16    formation of somatic embryos in the *emf2 sdg8* double mutants. Interestingly, a double  
17    mutant of *sdg8* and *vrn2* (*vernalization2*), a paralog of *EMF2*, grow and develop  
18    normally to maturity. These observations demonstrate a functional cooperative interplay  
19    between SDG8 and an EMF2-containing PcG complex in maintaining vegetative cell  
20    identity by repressing seed genes to promote seedling development. The work also  
21    indicates the functional specificities of PcG complexes in Arabidopsis.

22    **Key Words:** Arabidopsis, embryonic program, EMF2, histone methylation, PcG  
23    proteins, SDG8, seed maturation genes, somatic embryos, VRN2.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2 Seed maturation is a highly coordinated developmental phase when storage reserves,  
3 including seed storage proteins (SSPs), are synthesized and accumulated to high levels.  
4 The maturation genes need to be repressed, however, in order to allow seedling  
5 development to occur. Indeed, these genes are not observed to be expressed in vegetative  
6 organs of the plant (Vicente-Carbajosa and Carbonero, 2005). Research in the past  
7 decade with the model plant *Arabidopsis* has led to the identification of repressors of seed  
8 maturation genes in vegetative organs (reviewed in Zhang and Ogas, 2009), including  
9 chromatin-remodelling ATPases PICKLE and BRAHMA (Henderson et al., 2004; Li et  
10 al., 2005; Tang et al., 2008), polycomb group (PcG) proteins (Moon et al., 2003;  
11 Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005; Makarevich et al., 2006; Kim et al.,  
12 2010), and histone deacetylases HDA6 and HDA19 (Tanaka et al., 2008). This indicates  
13 the crucial roles for chromatin-based mechanisms in the repression process. Despite this  
14 progress, our knowledge remains fragmented, and thus continued efforts are needed to  
15 identify the additional factors involved and to build an integrated genetic network.

16 In *Arabidopsis*, ABI3, FUS3, LEC1 and LEC2 are master regulators of seed  
17 maturation (Giraudat et al., 1992; Lotan et al., 1998; Luerksen et al., 1998; Stone et al.,  
18 2001), and they regulate each other (Kagaya et al., 2005b; To et al., 2006). ABI3, FUS3  
19 and LEC2 are closely-related members of a plant-specific B3-domain transcription factor  
20 family. LEC1 encodes a novel homolog of the CCAAT-binding factor HAP3 subunit.  
21 Loss-of-function mutations in ABI3, FUS3, and LEC1 give rise to pleiotropic seed  
22 phenotypes including significant reduction of SSPs. These regulatory genes are  
23 predominantly expressed in the seeds. When misexpressed in vegetative tissues, they are

1 able to induce ectopic expression of the SSP genes and even somatic embryos (Parcy et  
2 al., 1994; Lotan et al., 1998; Stone et al., 2001; Gazzarrini et al., 2004; Santos Mendoza  
3 et al., 2005; Kagaya et al., 2005a; Braybrook et al., 2006).

4       The nucleosome is the basic unit of chromatin and it is composed of an octamer  
5 of four core histones (H3, H4, H2A, H2B) around which 147 base pairs of DNA are  
6 wrapped. The N-terminal “tails” of the core histones are unstructured and are frequently  
7 found modified by various enzymes (Kouzarides, 2007). These modifications have  
8 important implications in transcriptional activities of the genes with which they are  
9 associated. Some modifications are often found associated with actively transcribed  
10 genes, e.g., the trimethylation of histone 3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) and acetylation, and  
11 are thus considered as active marks; whilst some other modifications are frequently found  
12 associated with silenced genes, e.g., H3K27me3, H3K9me3, and deacetylation, and thus  
13 are considered as repressive marks (Kouzarides, 2007). Histone modifications do not all  
14 act independently, but rather can antagonize or promote one another (Fischle et al., 2003;  
15 Suganuma and Workman, 2008).

16       The repressive H3K27me3 mark is deposited by PcG proteins. The PcG genes  
17 were first identified genetically in *Drosophila* through their role in controlling homeotic  
18 gene expression and have long been one of the premier models for deciphering chromatin  
19 mechanisms during development (Schwartz and Pirrotta, 2007; Schwartz and Pirrotta,  
20 2008; Simon and Kingston, 2009). The PcG proteins form two main classes of  
21 complexes, PcG Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1) and PRC2. PRC2 contains the Enhancer  
22 of Zeste(E(z), the methyltransferase, Suppressor of Zeste 12 (Su(z)12), Extra Sex Combs  
23 (Esc) and p55. PRC2 is responsible for placing the H3K27me3 mark, whereas PRC1 is

commonly viewed as a direct executor of silencing at target genes. PRC2 components are conserved in plants and three PRC2 complexes have been identified in Arabidopsis. The EMF2-containing PRC2 and the VRN2-containing PRC2 mainly function in vegetative and floral development and the third one plays important roles in the seed (Calonje and Sung, 2006; Pien and Grossniklaus, 2007; Schatlowksi et al., 2008). Little is known about PRC1 in plants, but recent studies have identified putative PRC1 components in Arabidopsis (Calonje et al., 2008; Sanchez-Pulido et al., 2008; Xu and Shen, 2008; Bratzel et al., 2010). Arabidopsis plants with mutations that destroy the activities of either PRC2 or PRC1 complexes lost cell identity control and thus exhibited massive growth of somatic embryo-like structures (Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005; Makarevich et al., 2006; Bratzel et al., 2010).

Here, it is shown that mutations affecting SDG8, a histone methyltransferase, resulted in the ectopic expression of seed maturation genes in leaves. Further, the genetic relationship between the *SDG8* and the PcG gene *EMF2* in repressing seed traits was investigated, followed by analysis of the histone modification status at seed maturation loci. The observed changes of the histone methylation marks in mutant backgrounds provide an explanation for the synergism of SDG8 and EMF2 in repressing seed gene expression.

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Plant Material, Growth Conditions and Genotype Analysis**

Seeds of mutants were obtained from the ABRC and INRA, unless otherwise indicated.

Seeds were vernalized at 4°C for 3-d. Then the seeds were sowed on soil or on agar plates

1 containing 4.3 g/L Murashige and Skoog nutrient mix (Sigma-Aldrich), 1.5% sucrose, 0.5  
2 g/L MES, pH 5.7 with KOH, and 0.8% agar. Plants were grown under 16-h-light  
3 (22°C)/8-h-dark (20°C) cycles. Homozygous T-DNA insertion mutants were identified  
4 by PCR.

5

#### 6 **Map-Based Cloning of *essp4***

7 Mutant *essp4* was isolated from the same genetic screening as *essp1* and *essp3* (Tang et  
8 al. 2008; Lu et al. 2010). For genetic mapping of the *essp4* mutation, mutant plants from  
9 *Col* background were crossed with wild type plants of the *Ler* ecotype. A total of 836  
10 homozygous *essp4* mutants were selected from a F2 segregating population. Genomic  
11 DNA extracted from these seedlings was used for PCR-based mapping with simple  
12 sequence polymorphism markers, and the *essp4* locus was mapped to a ~120kb genomic  
13 interval on BAC F22K20, T14N5 and F2P24 at bottom of chromosome one (28,965-  
14 29,084kb). Sequencing of the genomic region revealed a mutation in At1g77300.

15

#### 16 **Histochemical GUS and Fat Red Staining**

17 The modified GUS staining solution (0.5 mg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-  
18 glucuronide, 20% methanol, 0.01 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.0) (Tang et al. 2008) was used.  
19 Seedlings immersed in GUS staining solution were placed under vacuum for 15 min, and  
20 then incubated at 37°C overnight. The staining solution was removed and samples were  
21 cleared by sequential changes of 75% and 95% ethanol. Fat red staining was performed  
22 by incubating samples in a saturated solution of Sudan red 7B (Sigma) in 70% ethanol for

1 1 hr at room temperature. Samples were then rinsed with 70% ethanol (Bratzel et al.,  
2 2010).

#### 4 **Microarray Hybridization and Data Analysis**

5 Total RNA was isolated in three biological replicates from leaves of 2-week-old wild-  
6 type (*βCGpro:GUS*) and mutants (*essp4/sdg8-5* and *sdg8-2*) seedlings grown on MS agar  
7 plates (1.5% Suc), using RNeasy Plant Mini kit (QIAGEN). Labeling, hybridization, and  
8 detection were performed at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation  
9 Centre (<http://genomequebec.mcgill.ca>). The Affymetrix Arabidopsis ATH1-whole  
10 genome array, containing 22,810 probe sets representing approximately 24,000 genes,  
11 was used. The raw MAS 5.0 data files obtained from scanned array images are then  
12 imported into GeneSpring 7.3.1 (Silicon Genetics). Only genes with Present (P) calls  
13 were included in the analysis. Raw signals of each gene were normalized with the median  
14 of all measurements on the chip. The average normalized value of the signal intensity for  
15 each gene in three replicate hybridization experiments for wild type (*βCGpro:GUS*) and  
16 two replicate hybridization experiments for *sdg8* (*sdg8-2*, 5) was adopted as the  
17 expression value of the gene. Expression data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA model  
18 to identify differentially regulated transcripts. False discovery rate multiple testing  
19 corrections were calculated based on the P value generated from the one-way ANOVA.  
20 Using false discovery rate at 5% that corresponds to P value = 0.05, we selected only  
21 statistically significant genes that were regarded as differentially regulated only if their  
22 fold-change was 2.0 for up-regulated and 0.5-fold for down-regulated. The microarray



data have been deposited with the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus data repository (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo>) under accession number GSE29771.

#### **Gene Expression and SDS-Page Analysis**

Plants grown on MS media were used for gene expression and SDS-Page analyses. RT-PCR, Real-time PCR, and RNA blot analyses were performed as described previously (Tang et al., 2008). Extra PCR primers used in this work are listed in Supplemental Table S3. SDS-Page was carried out to profile seed storage proteins as described by Hou et al. (2005).

#### **ChIP**

ChIP was performed essentially as previously described (Tang et al, 2008) using leaves from 13-d-old plants grown on MS agar plate for wild type and single mutants, while 13-16-d-old seedlings or 30-d-old somatic embryo were used for *sdg8 emf2* double mutant. Chromatin from 0.3 g of leaves or somatic embryo was used for one immunoprecipitation with antibodies of H3K27me3 (Millipore, 07-449, H3K4me3 (Millipore, 07-473) or no antibody as a mock. Input DNA, immunoprecipitated DNA or mock DNA was subjected to qPCR for quantifying ChIP enrichment. *Ta3*, *Actin2/7* were amplified as controls for repressed and actively expressed locus, respectively. We confirmed by RT-PCR analysis that *Ta3* is not detectable in both wild-type and *sdg8* mutant leaves, while *Actin2/7* is uniformly expressed (data not shown). The relative amount of ChIP DNA was first deducted by background mock DNA and then calculated as percentage of input DNA.

## Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: At1g77300 (SDG8), AT5G51230 (EMF2), AT4G16845 (VRN2), AT3G20740 (FIE), At3g24650 (ABI3), At3g26790 (FUS3), At1g21970 (LEC1), AT1G28300 (LEC2), At4g27140 (At2S1), At4g27150 (At2S2), At4g27160 (At2S3), At4g27170 (At2S4), At5g54740 (At2S5).

## RESULTS

### Identification of SDG8 as Repressor of a Seed Gene Promoter

A genetic screen has recently been conducted to identify mutants exhibiting ectopic expression of a soybean conglycinin (7S storage protein) gene promoter-GUS transgene (*βCGpro:GUS*) (Tang et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2010). This article reports the characterization of one of the mutants identified from the screen, initially named *essp4*. The *essp4* mutant plants exhibited strong ectopic GUS activity in leaves, not detectable in other organs (Fig. 1A, B). In addition, the mutant plants had pleiotropic developmental defects, such as early flowering, more branches, shorter siliques and less seeds (Fig. 1C-G).

The *essp4* mutation is a recessive mutation and mapped to a genomic interval of approximately 120 kb on the bottom of chromosome 1 (Fig. 2A). To identify the molecular lesion in *essp4*, the genomic region was amplified by PCR and sequenced. A single point mutation was identified in *SDG8/EFS* (At1g77300), potentially leading to a missense mutation at the amino acid level, from Gly-1,125 to Glu-1,125. The amino acid

1 residue affected by the *essp4* mutation is a highly conserved residue in the SET domain  
2 across kingdoms (Fig. 2B).

3 SDG8 has recently been reported by several groups to be a regulator of diverse  
4 growth and developmental processes, including flowering timing and shoot branching  
5 (Zhao et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008; Cazzonelli et al., 2009; Grini et al.,  
6 2009; Ko et al., 2010). The reported *sdg8* mutant phenotypes are similar to those of the  
7 *essp4* mutant. To confirm that *essp4* is allelic to *SDG8*, T-DNA insertion lines, *sdg8-1*,  
8 *sdg8-2*, and *sdg8-4*, were obtained, and plants homozygous for the T-DNA insertions  
9 were crossed with *βCGpro:GUS*. In the F2 generation, about a quarter of the plants  
10 showed the ectopic GUS phenotype concomitant with other morphological phenotypes  
11 (Fig. 2C-H). These data strongly suggest that *ESSP4* is *SDG8*.

### 13 **Expression of 2S Albumin genes and Other Embryogenesis-Related Genes in *sdg8*** 14 **Mutant Leaves**

15 To obtain an overview of the effects of the *sdg8* mutations on endogenous seed storage  
16 protein genes and other seed genes, a transcript profiling analysis was performed to  
17 compare gene expression at the whole genome level in mutants (*sdg8-5/essp4* and *sdg8-*  
18 *2*) and wild type (*βCGpro:GUS*) leaves. Total RNA was isolated from leaves of mutant  
19 and wild type plants grown on MS agar for 2 weeks, and labeled RNAs were hybridized  
20 to the Affymetrix Arabidopsis ATH1 gene chip whole genome array. As listed in  
21 Supplemental Table S1 and S2, 1,299/1,132 and 352/382 genes were significantly up-  
22 and down-regulated in *sdg8-5 (essp4)/sdg8-2* ( $\geq 2.0$ -fold; false discovery rate  $\leq 0.05$ ),  
23 respectively. Importantly, among the up-regulated genes are a subset of seed storage

1 protein genes, *At2S2*, *At2S3*, *At2S5*, and *At7S1* (Table 1). Also among the up-regulated  
2 genes are a number of other nutrient reserve-related genes, such as those encoding lipid  
3 transfer proteins (LTPs) and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins (Table 1).  
4 Moreover, a group of genes that have been previously shown to be required for normal  
5 embryo development (*EMB*; Tzafrir et al., 2003, 2004; [www.seedgenes.org](http://www.seedgenes.org)) are also  
6 among the genes whose mRNAs were significantly elevated in mutant leaves (Table 1).  
7 The *EMBs* are a group of genes encoding proteins with diverse functions in  
8 embryogenesis. Lastly, it is worth mentioning that transcript of the gibberellin 2-oxidase  
9 gene (*AtGA2ox2*, At1g30040) is highly elevated in mutant leaves (Supplemental Table 1  
10 and 2). *AtGAox2* is one of the five C19-GA 2-oxidases which constitute a major GA  
11 inactivation pathway in Arabidopsis (Yamauchi et al., 2007; Rieu et al., 2008). In  
12 contrast, fewer genes were reported to be affected in two recent studies using 6- and 10-  
13 day-old seedlings and no ectopic expression of seed storage protein genes were detected  
14 (Xu et al., 2008; Cazzonelli et al., 2009), suggesting a development stage-dependent  
15 regulation of these genes.

16 The DNA microarray results listed in Table 1 were validated and are shown in  
17 Fig. 3. Since the *2S* genes do not contain introns, RNA-blot analysis was used to examine  
18 their expression. Although the *2S1* and *2S4* RNAs were not detected in the microarray  
19 experiments, they were detectable by northern analysis (Fig. 3A). In addition, the other  
20 three T-DNA insertion mutants, *sdg8-1*, *-2* and *-4*, also exhibited strong expression of *2S*  
21 genes (Fig. 3A), providing further evidence that *ESSP4* is *SDG8*. For the other genes  
22 listed in Table 1, data from real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments  
23 validated the microarray results (Fig. 3B). RNAs of the master regulators of seed

maturation, *ABI3*, *FUS3*, *LEC1*, and *LEC2*, were also examined by qRT-PCR, although they were not detected in the microarray experiment. As shown in Fig. 3C, with the exception of *FUS3*, none of these RNAs are detected in *sdg8-2* leaves.

### **Formation of Somatic Embryos on *sdg8 emf2* Double Mutant Seedlings**

The identification of SDG8, a histone methyltransferase, as a moderate repressor of seed genes provided us with an opportunity to study its functional interplay with the PcG proteins on seed maturation genes. Evidence for a role of PRC2 in repressing seed genes is strong, including double mutant studies that demonstrated the formation of somatic embryos in double mutants deficient for both of the redundant PRC2 subunits, CURLY LEAF (CLF)/SWINGER (SWN) or EMF2/VRN2 (Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005; Makarevich et al., 2006). However, previous reports on the ectopic expression of seed genes in the *emf2* single mutant were not conclusive (Moon et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2010). To clarify this, two new alleles of *emf2*, designated as *emf2-37* and *emf2-38* (SALK\_011550) (Fig. 4A) were obtained. The *emf2-37* allele is a single nucleotide mutation we identified which is predicted to disrupt mRNA splicing. *emf2-38* is a T-DNA insertion knock-out allele (Fig. 4A and B). Both the two new *emf2* mutant alleles displayed similar morphological phenotypes as described previously (Yoshida et al., 2001; Moon et al., 2003). Transcript levels of the four master regulators were examined for 15-day-old *emf2-37* seedlings as shown in Fig. 4C. Clearly, *FUS3* was expressed and the other three transcripts were also detected.

To investigate the genetic relationship between the two moderate repressor genes, *EMF2* and *SDG8*, we generated *emf2 sdg8* double mutants and examined their

phenotypes. Two null alleles of *sdg8*, *sdg8-1* and *sdg8-2* (Fig. 2), were crossed with *emf2-37* and *emf2-38*. Since *emf2-37/38* are sterile, heterozygous (*EMF2 emf2-37*) plants were used to cross with *sdg8* plants. In the F<sub>2</sub> generation, *EMF2 emf2-37/sdg8-2 sdg8-2* progeny plants were identified by genotyping, and F<sub>3</sub> seeds harvested. The F<sub>3</sub> seeds were plated on MS agar and mutant segregation data generated and the phenotypes observed. Approximately a quarter of the F<sub>3</sub> seedlings were tiny and were *emf2-37 emf2-37 /sdg8-2 sdg8-2* plants as confirmed by *emf2-37* genotyping; and about 50% (113/220) of these started forming somatic embryo-like structures in just over two weeks after germination (Fig. 4 D-I). In most of the cases, the somatic embryos were found at the bottom of the aerial portion of the plant near the cotyledons (Fig. 4F). Other allele combinations of *sdg8-1 emf2-38* exhibited a similar phenotype (data not shown). This observation demonstrates the synergistic genetic interaction of *SDG8* and *EMF2* in repressing embryonic traits.

### **High Level Expression of Seed Maturation Genes in *sdg8 emf2* Seedlings**

Next, expression of seed maturation genes in the *emf2-37/38 sdg8-1/2* double mutants was examined. First, the expression and accumulation of seed storage proteins in 13-day-old double mutants (aerial portions) was profiled by SDS-PAGE gel analysis. As shown in Fig. 5A, both the 12S cruciferins and the 2S napins are clearly expressed and accumulated in the double mutants, but not detectable in either the *sdg8-1/2* or *emf2-37/38* single mutants. The somatic embryos formed on the double mutants, as expected, exhibited essentially the same profiles of seed storage proteins as those of seeds (Fig. 5B). As a control, calli induced from the wild-type background were also analyzed and

1 displayed very different protein profiles, supporting the identity of the somatic embryos  
2 formed on the double mutants. Consistent with the seed storage protein profiling results,  
3 the maturation master regulators were also highly expressed in the double mutants. The  
4 transcript levels of the four master regulators were analyzed by qRT-PCR for somatic  
5 embryos and seedlings (aerial parts) collected at three developmental stages: 7-day, 13-  
6 day, and 20-day. All the samples exhibited very high expression of the master regulators.  
7 Among the three time points, 13-day seedlings exhibited the highest expression. The  
8 somatic embryos had an even higher level of expression for all the master regulator genes  
9 with the exception of *LEC1* which was slightly lower than that of the 13-day seedlings  
10 (Fig. 5C). In contrast, the transcripts of the master regulators in the *sdg8-2* and *emf2-37*  
11 single mutant seedlings were a few orders of magnitude lower than those in the double  
12 mutants (Fig. 3C and 4C). In addition, we also stained the *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutant  
13 with the neutral lipid dye fat red and, as shown in Fig. D-F, the somatic embryos were all  
14 stained but not the other organs, indicating the high level accumulation of seed storage-  
15 specific triacylglycerols in somatic embryos. These results further support the identity of  
16 somatic embryos formed on the double mutant and strongly suggest a synergistic, rather  
17 than a simple additive, genetic interaction between *emf2* and *sdg8* on seed maturation  
18 genes.

## 20 **No Synergistic Genetic Interaction between *SDG8* and *VRN2* in Repressing**

### 21 **Embryonic Traits**

22 Since *EMF2* and *VRN2* are redundant in seed gene repression as reported previously  
23 (Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005), it was also investigated whether there

is a synergistic genetic relationship between *SDG8* and *VRN2* in repressing seed genes. For that, a new mutant allele of *VRN2* was obtained, designated *vrn2-2* (FLAG\_376E07), which contains a T-DNA insertion in the 10<sup>th</sup> intron and results in the disruption of the transcript (Fig. 6A and B). Homozygous *vrn2-2* plants were crossed with *emf2-37 EMF2* heterozygous plants, *emf2-37 EMF2/vrn2-2 vrn2-2* progeny were identified in the F2 generation and selfed F3 seeds collected. The F3 seeds were plated on MS agar, the mutant genotype assessed and the phenotypes observed. Approximately a quarter of the F3 seedlings were tiny and were *emf2-37 emf2-37 /vrn2-2 vrn2-2* plants as confirmed by *emf2-37* genotyping. The majority of these homozygous double mutant plants (75/96, ~80%) started forming somatic embryo-like structures in just over two weeks after germination and later developed into massive somatic embryos (Fig. 6D-F). This observation is consistent with published observations (Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005) and demonstrates that *vrn2-2* is a true loss-of-function allele. The *sdg8 vrn2* double mutants were made and their phenotype examined. Approximately 1,000 F2 seedlings (*sdg8-1 sdg8-1/vrn2-2 vrn2-2*) were examined and none displayed any phenotype resembling those of the *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutants (Fig. 6G). Another allele combination (*sdg8-2 sdg8-2/vrn2-2 vrn2-2*) showed similar results. These results suggest that *VRN2* plays a different role from *EMF2* in repressing seed genes during seedling development.

## **Histone Methylation Status at Seed Genes in *sdg8* Single and *sdg8 emf2* Double Mutants**



1 To understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the *sdg8-2* and the *sdg8-2 emf2-37*  
2 mutant phenotypes, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments were performed  
3 to examine the histone methylation status changes at several seed maturation genes in the  
4 mutant backgrounds. Recent data suggest that SDG8 may mediate the deposition of  
5 H3K36me3/me2 at a few genomic loci while it may also be responsible for placing  
6 H3K9me3 at some other loci (Zhao et al., 2005; Dong et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008).  
7 Based on these published observations, first the status of H3K36me2/me3 was examined  
8 and no changes of these two modifications were observed between mutants and wild type  
9 plants. This result is consistent with a recent global mapping of H3K36me2 in wild type  
10 Arabidopsis which did not detect any significant enrichment of this mark at seed genes  
11 (Oh et al., 2008). Next, the status of H3K9me3 mark at several seed genes in *sdg8*  
12 mutants was examined and again no obvious changes were observed.

13 Further, the changes of histone marks in *emf2-37 sdg8-2* double mutants were  
14 examined to search for clues for the synergistic interaction between *emf2-37* and *sdg8-2*.  
15 It was reasoned that, to allow for the seed program to develop in the double mutant, there  
16 must be crosstalk between H3K27me3 and the one placed by SDG8, assuming that SDG8  
17 acts directly at seed genes. The crosstalk would result in 1) mutual promotion of the  
18 removal of the two repressive marks, thus clearing the way for the active machinery;  
19 and/or 2) promotion of the deposition of active histone marks to recruit transcriptional  
20 activators. To test the first possibility, the levels of H3K27me3 in all the genetic  
21 backgrounds were examined. As shown in Fig. 7B, there was no change of this mark in  
22 *sdg8-2* relative to wild type and no further decrease in *emf2-37 sdg8-2* double mutants  
23 relative to *emf2-37* single mutants, suggesting that SDG8 does not affect PRC2 activity.

1 Then the status of the most common active mark H3K4me3 was examined and a dramatic  
2 elevation of the active mark in *emf2-37 sdg8-2* double mutants was observed at the  
3 transcription start site of the master regulator genes, particularly those of *ABI3* and *LEC2*  
4 (Fig. 7C). No changes were detected in the *sdg8-2* single mutant and only a slight  
5 enrichment in the *emf2-37* single mutant at the transcription start site of the master  
6 regulator genes relative to wild type. Thus, the ChIP results are consistent with the  
7 observed synergistic genetic interaction between *emf2-37* and *sdg8-2*, and suggest that  
8 only when both genes are disrupted could the active mark H3K4me3 be deposited to a  
9 high level and consequently leading to the full ectopic expression of the seed maturation  
10 program.

## 12 **DISCUSSION**

### 13 **How Does SDG8 Act to Repress Seed Genes?**

14 The genetic and molecular evidence presented here clearly indicates a role for *SDG8* in  
15 the repression of seed maturation genes in seedlings (Fig. 1, 2, Table 1). *SDG8* is a  
16 predicted histone methyltransferase based on its SET domain and indeed it has been  
17 demonstrated to have H3 methyltransferase activity in vitro (Dong et al., 2008).  
18 However, recombinant *SDG8* could not methylate recombinant H3 or synthetic H3  
19 peptides, thus preventing the determination of specific lysine residues in H3 methylated  
20 by *SDG8* in vitro (Dong et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008; Ko et al., 2010). Nevertheless, *in*  
21 *vivo* data, including immunoblotting and ChIP analyses, show that *SDG8* may mediate the  
22 placement of H3K36me2/me3, H3K9me3 and K3K4me3. This is consistent with  
23 structural and phylogenetic analyses that grouped *SDG8* and other four *SDGs* in a clade

1 together with the H3K36-specific histone methyltransferases found in fungi and  
2 mammals (Xu et al., 2008). SDG8 also has homology with the *Drosophila* Ash1, which  
3 can methylate lysines 4 and 9 in H3 (Beisel et al., 2002; Dong et al., 2008). In the ChIP  
4 experiment, no reduction in the abundance of H3K36me3 or H3K9me3 was detected at  
5 seed genes in the mutant relative to wild type. It is tempting to speculate that, even with  
6 the lack of the *in vitro* determination of its specific activity, there might be an as-yet-  
7 unidentified histone methylation activity of SDG8 that plays a role in repressing seed  
8 genes. Meanwhile, it is also possible that SDG8 acts indirectly to repress seed gene  
9 expression, e.g., by repressing a positive regulator. Although interesting, this hypothesis  
10 is at present time hard to test since so many genes are affected in *sdg8* mutant and no  
11 well-characterized activator of seed maturation genes is available for such a test. In  
12 addition, the up-regulation of *AtGA2ox2* might also contribute to the derepression of  
13 embryonic genes by lowering the level of GA in seedlings. GA is, however, also known  
14 to promote flowering and thus a possible decrease in GA level in *sdg8* is expected to  
15 cause delayed flowering. That is in contrast to the observed early flowering phenotype of  
16 *sdg8* plants. Future investigation is needed to understand this apparent conflict, but the  
17 *sdg8* flowering phenotype is likely an outcome of multiple factors and GA is only one of  
18 them.

## 20 **Roles of PcG Proteins in Repressing Seed Genes**

21 PRC2 components are conserved in plants and animals. In *Arabidopsis*, some  
22 PRC2 components are encoded by multi-gene families, e.g., MEDEA (MEA), CLF and  
23 SWN are E(z) homologs (Grossniklaus et al., 1998; Goodrich et al., 1997; Chanvivattana

et al., 2004; Henning et al., 2003), and EMF2, FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED2 (FIS2) and VRN2 are Su(z)12 homologs (Chaudhury et al., 1997; Gendall et al., 2001; Yoshida et al., 2001). In contrast, there is only one Arabidopsis homolog of ESC, which is the Fertilization Independent Endosperm (FIE) gene (Ohad et al., 1999; Kinoshita et al., 2001). The MEA-FIS complex is believed to mainly function in the seed whereas the other two have roles in other aspects of development. Previous genetic evidence has demonstrated the essential roles of Arabidopsis PRC2 components in repressing seed genes, exemplified by the formation of somatic embryos on *clf swn* and *emf2 vrn2* double mutants (Chanvivattana et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2005; Makarevich et al., 2006) and a FIE-rescued-*fie* mutant seedling (Kinoshita et al., 2001). This genetic evidence demonstrates that a functional PRC2 is required for repression of the seed program in seedlings. Recent genome-wide mapping of H3K27me3 in Arabidopsis identified a large number of genes (about 4,400, ~15% of all genes) that are marked by H3K27me3 (Zhang et al., 2007; Oh et al., 2008). Most of these genes are expressed at a low level throughout development or are expressed in a tissue-specific manner, including the seed-specific genes. These data are consistent with the pleiotropic phenotypes observed for PcG mutants and further indicate a central role for PcG proteins in repressing seed genes.

The differential roles of the two Su(z)12 homologs, EMF2 and VRN2, in repressing seed genes remain to be understood. The phenotype of the *emf2-37 vrn2-2* double mutant, i.e. formation of somatic embryos on seedlings, suggests a redundant role of the two PcG proteins in repressing seeds programs; whereas the fact that the *sdg8-2 vrn2-2* double mutant did not exhibit such a phenotype suggests a more important role for

1 EMF2 than VRN2 at the seed maturation loci. The outcomes of a genetic screen for *sdg8*-  
2 2 enhancers also appear to support a special role for EMF2: four new alleles of *emf2*, but  
3 none of the other PcG genes, have been recovered in screens for mutants forming somatic  
4 embryos. In addition, the *sdg8 clf* double mutant was also generated but no somatic  
5 embryo formation was observed, further suggesting a special role for EMF2 among  
6 PRC2 components in repressing seed genes.

7 Future work is needed to gain detailed understanding of how PcG functions at the  
8 seed maturation loci. Questions to be answered include how PRC2 are recruited to  
9 specific maturation loci and what is the biochemical composition of the EMF2-containing  
10 PRC2. In *Drosophila*, specific regulatory elements called the Polycomb Response  
11 Elements (PREs) are the sites of recruitment. The *Drosophila* PREs are also binding sites  
12 of the Trithorax protein (TRX), a H3K4 methyltransferase that acts to antagonize PcG  
13 repression. PcG complex binding is a dynamic process, sensitive to the antagonistic  
14 action of trxG complexes as well as to positive or negative input from other transcription  
15 factors. The functional state of the PcG target is likely determined by the equilibrium  
16 between all these activities (Schwartz and Pirrotta, 2008). Future efforts are required to  
17 identify plant PREs and the DNA-binding PcG recruiters, or other alternative recruiting  
18 mechanisms such as those mediated by non-coding RNAs (Guenther and Young, 2010;  
19 Margueron and Reinberg, 2010).

## 21 **Synergy of SDG8 and EMF2 at Seed Genes**

22 The formation of somatic embryos on the *emf2-37 sdg8-2* seedlings indicates a  
23 synergistic genetic interaction between *EMF2* and *SDG8* in repressing seed genes during

1 vegetative development. The ChIP data shows that the active histone mark H3K4me3 is  
2 enriched only in the double mutant, which is consistent with the observed synergistic  
3 genetic interaction. One possible explanation is the potential crosstalk between  
4 H3K27me3 and the putative unknown histone mark placed by SDG8, assuming that  
5 SDG8 acts directly at seed maturation loci. Chromatin modifications may act alone or in  
6 concert in a context-dependent manner to facilitate or repress chromatin-mediated  
7 processes (Fischle et al., 2003; Suganuma and Workman, 2008; Lee et al., 2010). The  
8 relationship between H3K27me3 and the one placed by SDG8 at seed gene chromatin  
9 loci still remains to be investigated. However, it is tempting to speculate that a reduction  
10 of both marks provides a correct chromatin context to allow the placement of H3K4me3  
11 at seed genes. Alternatively, the double mutant phenotype could be an outcome of  
12 synergistic interaction between loss of H3K27me3 in *emf2-37* and misexpression of a  
13 putative positive regulator(s) in *sdg8-2*.

14       The next question is how the active H3K4me3 mark is deposited following the  
15 loss of the repressive histone marks. This includes what enzymes are responsible and  
16 under what conditions. In *Drosophila*, Trx functions as an antagonist of PcG- mediated  
17 gene silencing and its main activity is correlated with H3K4 methylation, particularly  
18 H3K4me3. In *Arabidopsis*, there are five Trx homologues that have been identified  
19 (Avramova, 2009), of which ARABIDOPSIS HOMOLOG OF TRITHORAX 1 (ATX1)  
20 has been shown to have specific methylation activity for H3K4me3 and is required for  
21 placing the mark at several genes (Saleh et al., 2007; Pien et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2008).  
22 However, it still has not been determined whether ATX1 is responsible for the H3K4me3  
23 at seed genes and if not, which of the other ATXs is responsible.

The findings presented here demonstrate that partial loss of the H3K27me3 mark, when combined with the *sdg8* mutation, has similar consequence as the complete abolishment of the repressive mark, i.e, high level deposition of H3K4me3 and full derepression of embryonic traits. This is in contrast to the observation that loss-of-function *emf2* mutation causes a dramatic embryonic flower phenotype but only a weak derepression of seed genes. Together, these observations point to an important role of the interplay between PcG and other histone methylation activities in determining the PcG targeting specificity and ultimate transcriptional status of PcG target genes in plants.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

**Table S1.** Genes up- and down-regulated in *sdg8-5/essp4* mutant leaves

**Table S2.** Genes up- and down-regulated in *sdg8-2* mutant leaves

**Table S3.** PCR primers used in this work

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank ABRC and INRA for seeds of T-DNA insertion lines; Alex Molnar for help with preparing the Figures; Ida van Grinsven for sequencing service; Gang Tian for Fig. 5D-F; Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada A-base and Canadian Crop Genomics Initiative (to YC), Genome Prairie/Genome Canada (to YC, EWTT and WAK), and NSERC (to SJR) for funding.

## REFERENCES

- Avramova Z.** 2009. Evolution and pleiotropy of TRITHORAX function in Arabidopsis. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **53**:371-381.
- Beisel C, Imhof A, Greene J, Kremmer E, Sauer F.** 2002. Histone methylation by the Drosophila epigenetic transcriptional regulator Ash1. *Nature* **419**: 857-862.
- Bratzel F, López-Torrejón G, Koch M, Del Pozo JC, Calonje M.** 2010. Keeping Cell Identity in Arabidopsis Requires PRC1 RING-Finger Homologs that Catalyze H2A Monoubiquitination. *Current Biology* **20**: 1853-1859.
- Braybrook SA, Stone SL, Park S, Bui AQ, Le BH, Fischer RL, Goldberg RB, Harada JJ.** 2006. Genes directly regulated by LEAFY COTYLEDON2 provide insight into the control of embryo maturation and somatic embryogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **103**: 3468–3473
- Calonje M, Sanchez R, Chen L, Sung ZR.** 2008. EMBRYONIC FLOWER1 Participates in Polycomb Group-Mediated AG Gene Silencing in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **20**: 277-291.
- Calonje M, Sung ZR.** 2006. Complexity beneath the silence. *Current Opinions in Plant Biology* **9**: 530-537.
- Cazzonelli CI, Cuttriss AJ, Cossetto SB, Pye W, Crisp P, Whelan J, Finnegan EJ, Turnbull C, Pogson BJ.** 2009. Regulation of carotenoid composition and shoot branching in Arabidopsis by a chromatin modifying histone methyltransferase, SDG8. *The Plant Cell* **21**: 39-53.
- Chanvivattana Y, Bishopp A, Schubert D, Stock C, Moon YH, Sung ZR, Goodrich J.** 2004. Interaction of Polycomb-group proteins controlling flowering in Arabidopsis. *Development* **131**: 5263-5276.
- Chaudhury AM, Ming L, Miller C, Craig S, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ.** 1997. Fertilization-independent seed development in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **94**: 4223-4228.
- Dong G, Ma DP, Li J.** 2008. The histone methyltransferase SDG8 regulates shoot branching in Arabidopsis. *Biochemistry and Biophysics Research Communications* **373**: 659-664.
- Fischle W, Wang Y, Allis CD.** 2003. Histone and chromatin cross-talk. *Current Opinions in Cell Biology* **15**: 172-183.
- Gazzarrini S, Tsuchiya Y, Lumba S, Okamoto M, McCourt P.** 2004. The transcription factor FUSCA3 controls developmental timing in Arabidopsis through the hormones gibberellin and abscisic acid. *Developmental Cell* **7**: 373–385.



- Gendall AR, Levy YY, Wilson A, Dean C.** 2001. The VERNALIZATION 2 gene mediates the epigenetic regulation of vernalization in Arabidopsis. *Cell* **107**: 525-535.
- Giraudat J, Hauge BM, Valon C, Smalle J, Parcy F, Goodman HM.** 1992. Isolation of the Arabidopsis ABI3 gene by positional cloning. *The Plant Cell* **4**: 1251-1261.
- Goodrich J, Puangsomlee P, Martin M, Long D, Meyerowitz EM, Coupland G.** 1997. A Polycomb-group gene regulates homeotic gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Nature* **386**: 44-51.
- Grini PE, Thorstensen T, Alm V, Vizcay-Barrena G, Windju SS, Jørstad TS, Wilson ZA, Aalen RB.** 2009. The ASH1 HOMOLOG 2 (ASHH2) histone H3 methyltransferase is required for ovule and anther development in Arabidopsis. *PLoS One* **4**: e7817. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0007817.
- Grossniklaus U, Vielle-Calzada JP, Hoepfner MA, Gagliano WB.** 1998. Maternal control of embryogenesis by MEDEA, a polycomb group gene in Arabidopsis. *Science* **280**: 446-450.
- Guenther MG, Young RA.** 2010. Repressive transcription. *Science* **329**: 150-151.
- Henderson JT, Li HC, Rider SD, Mordhorst AP, Romero-Severson J, Cheng JC, Robey J, Sung ZR, de Vries SC, Ogas J.** 2004. PICKLE acts throughout the plant to repress expression of embryonic traits and may play a role in gibberellin-dependent responses. *Plant Physiology* **134**: 995-1005.
- Hennig L, Taranto P, Walser M, Schönrock N, Gruissem W.** 2003. Arabidopsis MSI1 is required for epigenetic maintenance of reproductive development. *Development* **130**: 2555-2565.
- Hou A, Liu K, Catawatharakul N, Tang X, Nguyen V, Keller WA, Tsang EW, Cui Y.** 2005. Two naturally occurring deletion mutants of 12S seed storage proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Planta* **222**: 512-520.
- Kagaya Y, Okuda R, Ban A, Toyoshima R, Tsutsumida K, Usui H, Yamamoto A, Hattori T.** 2005a. Indirect ABA-dependent regulation of seed storage protein genes by FUSCA3 transcription factor in Arabidopsis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **46**: 300-311.
- Kagaya Y, Toyoshima R, Okuda R, Usui H, Yamamoto A, Hattori T.** 2005b. LEAFY COTYLEDON1 controls seed storage protein genes through its regulation of FUSCA3 and ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE3. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **46**: 399-406.
- Kim SY, Zhu T, Sung ZR.** 2010. Epigenetic regulation of gene programs by EMF1 and EMF2 in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **152**: 516-528.

- Kinoshita T, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB, Fischer RL.** 2001. Polycomb repression of flowering during early plant development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **98**: 14156-14161.
- Ko JH, Mitina I, Tamada Y, Hyun Y, Choi Y, Amasino RM, Noh B, Noh YS.** 2010. Growth habit determination by the balance of histone methylation activities in Arabidopsis. *The EMBO Journal* **29**: 3208-3215.
- Kouzarides T.** 2007. Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell* **128**: 693-705.
- Lee JS, Smith E, Shilatifard A.** 2010. The language of histone crosstalk. *Cell* **142**: 682-685.
- Li HC, Chuang K, Henderson JT, Rider SD Jr, Bai Y, Zhang H, Fountain M, Gerber J, Ogas J.** 2005. PICKLE acts during germination to repress expression of embryonic traits. *The Plant Journal* **44**: 1010-1022.
- Lotan T, Ohto M, Yee KM, West MA, Lo R, Kwong RW, Yamagishi K, Fischer RL, Goldberg RB, Harada JJ.** 1998. Arabidopsis LEAFY COTYLEDON1 is sufficient to induce embryo development in vegetative cells. *Cell* **93**: 1195-1205.
- Lu Q, Tang X, Tian G, Wang F, Liu K, Nguyen V, Kohalmi SE, Keller WA, Tsang EW, Harada JJ, Rothstein SJ, Cui Y.** 2010. Arabidopsis homolog of the yeast TREX-2 mRNA export complex: components and anchoring nucleoporin. *The Plant Journal* **61**: 259-270.
- Luerssen H, Kirik V, Herrmann P, Misera S.** 1998. FUSCA3 encodes a protein with a conserved VP1/AB13-like B3 domain which is of functional importance for the regulation of seed maturation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant Journal* **15**: 755-764.
- Makarevich G, Leroy O, Akinci U, Schubert D, Clarenz O, Goodrich J, Grossniklaus U, Köhler C.** 2006. Different Polycomb group complexes regulate common target genes in Arabidopsis. *EMBO Reports* **7**: 947-952.
- Margueron R, Reinberg D.** 2010. Chromatin structure and the inheritance of epigenetic information. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **11**: 285-296.
- Moon YH, Chen L, Pan RL, Chang HS, Zhu T, Maffeo DM, Sung ZR.** 2003. EMF genes maintain vegetative development by repressing the flower program in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **15**: 681-693.
- Oh S, Park S, van Nocker S.** 2008. Genic and global functions for Paf1C in chromatin modification and gene expression in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000077. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000077.

**Ohad N, Yadegari R, Margossian L, Hannon M, Michaeli D, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB, Fischer RL.** 1999. Mutations in FIE, a WD polycomb group gene, allow endosperm development without fertilization. *The Plant Cell* **11**: 407-416.

**Parcy F, Valon C, Raynal M, Gaubier-Comella P, Delseny M, Giraudat J.** 1994. Regulation of gene expression programs during Arabidopsis seed development: Roles of the ABI3 locus and of endogenous abscisic acid. *The Plant Cell* **6**: 1567–1582.

**Pien S, Fleury D, Mylne JS, Crevillen P, Inzé D, Avramova Z, Dean C, Grossniklaus U.** 2008. ARABIDOPSIS TRITHORAX1 dynamically regulates FLOWERING LOCUS C activation via histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation. *The Plant Cell* **20**: 580-588.

**Pien S, Grossniklaus U.** 2007. Polycomb group and trithorax group proteins in Arabidopsis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1769**: 375-382.

**Rieu I, Eriksson S, Powers SJ, Gong F, Griffiths J, Woolley L, Benlloch R, Nilsson O, Thomas SG, Hedden P, Phillips AL.** 2008. Genetic analysis reveals that C19-GA 2-oxidation is a major gibberellin inactivation pathway in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **20**: 2420-2436.

**Saleh A, Al-Abdallat A, Ndamukong I, Alvarez-Venegas R, Avramova Z.** 2007. The Arabidopsis homologs of trithorax (ATX1) and enhancer of zeste (CLF) establish bivalent chromatin marks at the silent AGAMOUS locus. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**: 6290-6296.

**Saleh A, Alvarez-Venegas R, Yilmaz M, Le O, Hou G, Saddler M, Al-Abdallat A, Xia Y, Lu G, Ladunga I, Avramova Z.** 2008. The highly similar Arabidopsis homologs of trithorax ATX1 and ATX2 encode proteins with divergent biochemical functions. *The Plant Cell* **20**: 568-579.

**Sanchez-Pulido L, Devos D, Sung ZR, Calonje M.** 2008. RAWUL: a new ubiquitin-like domain in PRC1 ring finger proteins that unveils putative plant and worm PRC1 orthologs. *BMC Genomics* **9**: 308.

**Santos Mendoza M, Dubreucq B, Miquel M, Caboche M, Lepiniec L.** 2005. LEAFY COTYLEDON 2 activation is sufficient to trigger the accumulation of oil and seed specific mRNAs in Arabidopsis leaves. *FEBS Letters* **579**: 4666–4670.

**Schatlowski N, Creasey K, Goodrich J, Schubert D.** 2008. Keeping plants in shape: polycomb-group genes and histone methylation. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* **19**: 547-553.

**Schubert D, Clarenz O, Goodrich J.** 2005. Epigenetic control of plant development by Polycomb-group proteins. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **8**: 553-561.

**Schubert D, Primavesi L, Bishopp A, Roberts G, Doonan J, Jenuwein T, Goodrich J.** 2006. Silencing by plant Polycomb-group genes requires dispersed trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27. *The EMBO Journal* **25**: 4638-4649.

**Schwartz YB, Pirrotta V.** 2007. Polycomb silencing mechanisms and the management of genomic programmes. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **8**: 9-22.

**Schwartz YB, Pirrotta V.** 2008. Polycomb complexes and epigenetic states. *Current Opinions in Cell Biology* **20**: 266-273.

**Simon JA, Kingston RE.** 2009. Mechanisms of polycomb gene silencing: knowns and unknowns. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* **10**: 697-708.

**Stone SL, Kwong LW, Yee KM, Pelletier J, Lepiniec L, Fischer RL, Goldberg RB, Harada JJ.** 2001. LEAFY COTYLEDON2 encodes a B3 domain transcription factor that induces embryo development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **98**: 11806–11811.

**Suganuma T, Workman JL.** 2008. Crosstalk among Histone Modifications. *Cell* **135**: 604-607.

**Tanaka M, Kikuchi A, Kamada H.** 2008. The Arabidopsis Histone Deacetylases HDA6 and HDA19 Contribute to the Repression of Embryonic Properties after Germination. *Plant Physiology* **146**:149-161.

**Tang X, Hou A, Babu M, Nguyen V, Hurtado L, Lu Q, Reyes JC, Wang A, Keller WA, Harada JJ, Tsang EW, Cui Y.** 2008. The Arabidopsis BRAHMA chromatin-remodeling ATPase is involved in repression of seed maturation genes in leaves. *Plant Physiology* **147**:1143-1157.

**To A, Valon C, Savino G, Guillemot J, Devic M, Giraudat J, Parcy F.** 2006. A network of local and redundant gene regulation governs Arabidopsis seed maturation. *The Plant Cell* **18**: 1642-1651.

**Tzafrir I, Dickerman A, Brazhnik O, Nguyen Q, McElver J, Frye C, Patton D, Meinke D.** 2003. The Arabidopsis SeedGenes Project. *Nucleic Acids Research* **31**: 90-93.

**Tzafrir I, Pena-Muralla R, Dickerman A, Berg M, Rogers R, Hutchens S, Sweeney TC, McElver J, Aux G, Patton D, Meinke D.** 2004. Identification of genes required for embryo development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **135**: 1206-1220.

**Vicente-Carbajosa J, Carbonero P.** 2005. Seed maturation: Developing an intrusive phase to accomplish a quiescent state. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **49**: 645–651.

**Xu L, Shen WH.** 2008 Polycomb silencing of KNOX genes confines shoot stem cell niches in Arabidopsis. *Current Biology* **18**: 1966-1971.

**Xu L, Zhao Z, Dong A, Soubigou-Taconnat L, Renou JP, Steinmetz A, Shen WH.** 2008. Di- and tri- but not monomethylation on histone H3 lysine 36 marks active transcription of genes involved in flowering time regulation and other processes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular and Cell Biology* **28**: 1348-1360.

**Yamauchi Y, Takeda-Kamiya N, Hanada A, Ogawa M, Kuwahara A, Seo M, Kamiya Y, Yamaguchi S.** 2007. Contribution of gibberellin deactivation by AtGA2ox2 to the suppression of germination of dark-imbibed Arabidopsis thaliana seeds. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **48**: 555-561.

**Yoshida N, Yanai Y, Chen L, Kato Y, Hiratsuka J, Miwa T, Sung ZR, Takahashi S.** 2001. EMBRYONIC FLOWER2, a novel polycomb group protein homolog, mediates shoot development and flowering in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **13**: 2471-2481.

**Zhang H, Ogas J.** 2009. An epigenetic perspective on developmental regulation of seed genes. *Molecular Plant* **2**: 610-627.

**Zhang X, Clarenz O, Cokus S, Bernatavichute YV, Pellegrini M, Goodrich J, Jacobsen SE.** 2007. Whole-genome analysis of histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Biol* **5**: e129. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050129.

**Zhao Z, Yu Y, Meyer D, Wu C, Shen WH.** 2005. Prevention of early flowering by expression of FLOWERING LOCUS C requires methylation of histone H3 K36. *Nature Cell Biology* **7**: 1256-1260.

**Table 1.** Selected Seed-Related Genes Upregulated in *essp4* Leaves as Revealed by Microarray Analysis

Gene Identification	Locus	Fold Elevated
Seed Storage Protein		
2S seed storage protein 2 (At2S2)	<i>At4g27150</i>	1391.98
2S seed storage protein 3 (At2S3)	<i>At4g27160</i>	12.39
2S seed storage protein 5 (At2S5)	<i>At5g54740</i>	89.18
Cupin family protein (At7S1)	<i>At4g36700</i>	452.18
Other Storage proteins		
lipid transfer protein 6 (LTP6)	<i>At3g08770</i>	46.65
lipid transfer protein 3 (LTP3)	<i>At5g59320</i>	11.24
nonspecific lipid transfer protein 2 (LTP2)	<i>At2g38530</i>	10.84
lipid transfer protein 4 (LTP4)	<i>At5g59310</i>	9.04
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At4g12490</i>	7.97
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At3g18280</i>	6.93
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At4g22490</i>	6.81
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At4g22470</i>	4.47
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At4g12500</i>	4.09
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At5g64080</i>	3.30
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP-a)	<i>At1g62500</i>	3.02
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At4g12480</i>	2.98
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At1g48750</i>	2.31
lipid transfer protein family protein (LTP)	<i>At1g55260</i>	2.17
lipoxygenase (LOX2)	<i>At3g45140</i>	10.07
late embryogenesis abundant domain-containing protein (LEA)	<i>At3g17520</i>	48.82
late embryogenesis abundant 3 family protein (LEA3)	<i>At1g02820</i>	9.71
embryo-specific protein-related	<i>At5g62210</i>	8.11
embryo-abundant protein-related	<i>At2g41380</i>	3.75
EMB Genes		
proline-rich extensin-like family protein (RSH)	<i>At1g21310</i>	37.85
oligopeptide transporter OPT family protein (AtOPT3)	<i>At4g16370</i>	19.24
DNA-directed DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit putative (POL2B/TIL2)	<i>At2g27120</i>	17.65
zinc finger protein-related (EMB2454)	<i>At3g18290</i>	10.33
homeobox protein SHOOT MERISTEMLESS (STM)	<i>At1g62360</i>	6.89
DNA-directed DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit putative (EMB2284)	<i>At1g08260</i>	5.54
RNA polymerase sigma subunit SigE (sigE) / sigma-like factor (SIG5)	<i>At5g24120</i>	4.62
heat shock protein putative (EMB1956)	<i>At2g04030</i>	2.68
syntaxin-related protein KNOLLE (KN) / syntaxin 111 (SYP111)	<i>At1g08560</i>	2.59
pre-mRNA splicing factor putative (EMB2444)	<i>At2g18510</i>	2.56
transducin family protein / WD-40 repeat family protein (TOZ)	<i>At5g16750</i>	2.53
hypothetical protein (EMB1692)	<i>At5g62990</i>	2.52
NLI interacting factor (NIF) family protein (EMB1860)	<i>At1g55900</i>	2.25
Ubiquitin-specific protease 14 putative (UBP14/TTN6)	<i>At3g20630</i>	2.22
Acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (ACC1)	<i>At1g36160</i>	2.20
Expressed protein (EMB1974)	<i>At3g07060</i>	2.20

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Fig. 1:** Phenotypes of the *essp4* mutant.

**(A, B)** GUS phenotypes of the *essp4* mutant grown on agar at two different growth phases.

**(C-E)** Comparison of the *essp4* mutant with wild type ( $\beta CG_{pro}:GUS$ ) at bolting and mature phases, respectively.

**(F, G)** Comparison of *essp4* siliques with that of wild type ( $\beta CG_{pro}:GUS$ ).

(This figure is available in color at *JXB* online).

**Fig. 2:** Map-based cloning of *essp4*.

**(A)** Fine genetic mapping with PCR-based markers located the *essp4* locus to the bottom of chromosome 1, on BAC clone T14N5. The numbers of recombination events out of the total numbers of chromosomes examined (1536) are indicated.

**(B)** Alignment of amino acid sequences of SET domains from Arabidopsis (At), Human (Hs), mouse (Mm), fungus (Fn), maize (Zm), and yeast (Sc).

**(C)** Structure of the *SDG8/ESSP4* gene and the location of mutation/T-DNA insertion sites of *sdg8* alleles. Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively. The shaded boxes represent the conserved protein domains (from left to right): CW (cysteine and tryptophan conserved), AWS (associated with SET), and SET.

**(D-F)** GUS phenotypes of three T-DNA insertion alleles. Shown here is a representative  $F_2$  progeny from each of the crosses of the corresponding T-DNA allele with  $\beta CG_{pro}:GUS$  line.

**(G)** RT-PCR analysis of the expression of *SDG8* in wild type and *sdg8* mutants. The primers used are indicated in (C) and elongation factor 1 $\alpha$  was used as an internal control.

**(H)** Comparison of *sdg8* mutant plants with wild type at bolting.

(This figure is available in color at *JXB* online).

**Fig. 3:** Expression analysis of seed maturation genes in *essp4* mutant leaves.

**(A)** RNA blot analysis of the expression of the five 2S genes in leaves of four *sdg8* mutants grown for 14 days on MS agar. Wild type (*Col*) leaves and siliques were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Same amount of RNA was used for each blot. Elongation factor 1 $\alpha$  was used as loading control.

**(B)** Real time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) validation of the expression in *sdg8-5* leaves of seed related genes revealed in the DNA microarray analysis. RNAs from leaves of 14-day old plants grown on MS agar were used for PCR. Only those validated by qRT-PCR are shown here. Wild type ( *$\beta$ CG<sub>pro</sub>:GUS*) RNA levels are designed as 1-fold. The expression of *Actin-8* was used as internal controls. The mean and standard error were determined from three biological replicates. Bars represent standard errors.

**(C)** qRT-PCR analysis of *ABI3*, *FUS3*, *LEC1*, and *LEC2* genes in seedlings (aerial portion) of *sdg8-2* mutants grown for 14 days on MS agar. Wild type (*Col*) RNA levels are designed as 1-fold. The expression of *Actin-8* was used as internal control. The mean and standard error were determined from three biological replicates, each of which was conducted in triplicates.

**Fig. 4:** Phenotypes of the *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutants.



**(A)** Structure of the *EMF2* gene and the location of mutation/T-DNA insertion sites of *emf2* alleles. Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively. The shaded boxes represent the conserved protein domains (from left to right): conserved N-terminal basic domain, C2H2-type zinc finger domain, and C-terminal acidic-W/M domain. The mutation in *emf2-37* is ‘G’ to ‘T’ at 20,824,727 bp on chromosome 5 .

**(B)** RT-PCR analysis of the expression of *EMF2* in wild type and *emf2-38* mutants. The primers used are indicated in (A). Genomic DNA (gDNA) was included as size control for RT-PCR products, and *Actin2* was used as an internal control.

**(C)** qRT-PCR analysis of *ABI3*, *FUS3*, *LEC1*, and *LEC2* genes in seedlings (aerial portion) of *emf2-37* mutants grown for 15 days on MS agar. Wild type (*Col*) RNA levels are designed as 1-fold. The expression of *Actin-8* was used as internal control. The mean and standard error were determined from three biological replicates, each of which was conducted in triplicates.

**(D-I)** Morphological phenotypes of *emf2-37* single (D) and *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutants at different growth phases on MS agar (E, 16-d; F, 25-d; G, 32-d). (H) and (I) are close images of the boxed areas in (E) and (F), respectively. Bar=1mm.

(This figure is available in color at *JXB* online).

**Fig. 5:** Expression of seed maturation genes in *sdg8 emf2* double mutants.

**(A, B)** SDS-Page analysis of seed storage proteins in seedlings (aerial portion) (A) and somatic embryos (B) from *sdg8-1/2 emf2-37/38* double mutants. Wild type (*Col*) seeds were used as positive controls and leaves and calli induced from wild type plants were used as negative controls.

(C) qRT-PCR analysis of *ABI3*, *FUS3*, *LEC1*, and *LEC2* genes in somatic embryos and aerial portion seedlings of *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutants at various time point on MS agar (7-, 13-, and 20-d). Wild type (*Col*) RNA levels are designed as 1-fold. The expression of *Actin-8* was used as internal control. The mean and standard error were determined from three biological replicates, each of which was conducted in triplicates.

(D-F) Fat red staining of 25-day-old *sdg8-2 emf2-37* mutants grown on MS agar. Scale bar=1mm

(This figure is available in color at *JXB* online).

**Fig. 6:** Characterization of a new *vrn2* allele and phenotype of the *sdg8 vrn2* double mutants.

(A) Structure of the *VRN2* gene and the location of T-DNA insertion site of *vrn2-2* allele. Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively. The shaded boxes represent the conserved protein domains (from left to right): conserved N-terminal basic domain, C2H2-type zinc finger domain, C-terminal acidic-W/M domain.

(B) RT-PCR analysis of the expression of *VRN2* in wild type and *vrn2-2* mutant. The primers used are indicated in (A). Genomic DNA (gDNA) was included as size control for RT-PCR products, and *Actin2* was used as an internal control.

(C) Phenotype comparison of the *vrn2-2* mutant at 25-days with wild type (*Ws* ecotype).

(D–F) Morphological phenotypes of the *emf2-37vrn2-2* double mutants grown on MS agar (D and E, 30-d; F, 20-d). Bar=1mm.

(G) Phenotype comparison of the *sdg8-2 vrn2-2* double mutant with the *sdg8-2* and *vrn2-2* single mutants at 30-days.

(This figure is available in color at *JXB* online).

**Fig. 7:** ChIP analyses of H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 levels at seed maturation loci in *sdg8-2*, *emf2-37*, and *sdg8-2 emf2-37* mutants.

**(A)** Structures of the four master regulator genes and locations of primers used for quantitative ChIP-PCR analyses. Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively.

**(B, C)** Relative levels of H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 at four maturation loci. After ChIP, three different regions of each locus (as indicated in A) were analyzed by qPCR. The results show the recovery of immunoprecipitated material with anti-H3K27me3 or anti-H3K4me3 antibodies (IP) as percent of input after deduction of background DNA (no antibody mock control). For wild type, *emf2-37* and *sdg8-2* single mutants, aerial part from 13-d-old plants grown on MS agar plate were used. For *sdg8-2 emf2-37* double mutant, both 13- to 16-d-old seedlings (one biological replicate) and 30-d-old somatic embryos (two biological replicates) were used in the H3K4me3 assay and only somatic embryos were used in the H3K27me3 assay. *ACT2/7* is shown as a control locus. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean of three biological replications.