

NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

Exposure to cyanobacteria: acute health effects associated with endotoxins

Lévesque, B.; Gervais, M.-C.; Chevalier, P.; Gauvin, D.; Anassour-Laouan-Sidi, E.; Gingras, S.; Fortin, N.; Brisson, G.; Greer, C.; Bird, D.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below./ Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.11.027 Public Health, 2016-01-14

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0238c010-2e5a-4bef-b2e5-1ce7a16788cbhttps://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=0208666666666666666

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.





ARTICLE IN PRESS

PUBLIC HEALTH XXX (2015) 1-4



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Public Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/puhe



Short Communication

Exposure to cyanobacteria: acute health effects associated with endotoxins

B. Lévesque ^{a,b,c,*}, M.-C. Gervais ^b, P. Chevalier ^b, D. Gauvin ^b, E. Anassour-Laouan-Sidi ^c, S. Gingras ^b, N. Fortin ^d, G. Brisson ^b, C. Greer ^d, D. Bird ^e

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 26 September 2014
Received in revised form
18 September 2015
Accepted 30 November 2015
Available online xxx

We recently conducted a prospective epidemiological study to document the acute health effects in relation to exposure to cyanobacteria in three lakes located in Quebec (Canada). Only gastrointestinal symptoms were found to be associated with contact with the lakes, and there was a significant relationship with counts of cyanobacteria for which participants were exposed to greater concentrations of cyanobacteria.

Some of the symptoms related to endotoxin exposure in humans are gastrointestinal.² We measured the endotoxin

concentrations in water samples taken during the aforementioned study, with the goal of examining the relationship between endotoxin exposure and the incidence of symptoms in the study participants.

The population choices for the study and the data collection methodology have been described elsewhere. Briefly, three lakes were selected on the basis of their history and frequency of cyanobacterial blooms: Lake William (LW), Lake Roxton (LR) and Lake Champlain's Missisquoi Bay (MB). At the latter site, a drinking water treatment plant supplies some MB residents. Data were collected from June 27 to August 21, 2009. The protocol was approved by the research ethics committee of the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec and participants signed an informed consent form.

A daily journal of symptoms was used to collect data from participants on symptoms (eye, ear, respiratory, gastrointestinal, skin, muscle pain, headaches, mouth ulcers) potentially associated with cyanobacteria.³ By using a list of activities, participants also recorded full contact (swimming, waterskiing, wadeboard, windsurfing, kite surfing, diving, use of

0033-3506/© 2015 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^a Université Laval, Faculté de médecine, Département de médecine sociale et préventive, 945 Ave. Wolfe, Québec City, Québec G1V 5B3, Canada

^b Institut national de santé publique du Québec, 945 Ave. Wolfe, Québec City, Québec G1V 5B3, Canada

^c Centre de recherche du Centre hospitalier universitaire (CHU) de Québec, Santé publique et pratiques optimales en santé, Edifice Delta 2- Bureau 600, 2875 Blv. Laurier, Québec City, Québec G1V 2M2, Canada

^d National Research Council Canada, Energy, Mining and Environment, 6100 Royalmount Avenue, Montréal, Québec H4P 2R2. Canada

^e Université du Québec à Montréal, Département des sciences biologiques, Faculté des sciences, Case postale 8888, Succ Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec H3C 3P8, Canada

^{*} Corresponding author. Université Laval, Faculté de médecine, Département de médecine sociale et préventive, 945 Ave. Wolfe, Québec City, Québec G1V 5B3, Canada. Tel.: +1 418 650 5115x5214; fax: +1 418 654 3144.

E-mail addresses: benoit.levesque@inspq.qc.ca (B. Lévesque), marie-christine.gervais@inspq.qc.ca (M.-C. Gervais), pierre.chevalier@inspq.qc.ca (P. Chevalier), denis.gauvin@inspq.qc.ca (D. Gauvin), elhadji.anassour-laouan-sidi@crchul.ulaval.ca (E. Anassour-Laouan-Sidi), suegingras@videotron.ca (S. Gingras), nathalie.fortin@cnrc-nrc.gc.ca (N. Fortin), genevieve.brisson@inspq.qc.ca (G. Brisson), charles.greer@cnrc-nrc.gc.ca (C. Greer), bird.david@uqam.ca (D. Bird). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2015.11.027

watercraft involving launching, accidental falls, relaxing on a floating chair or mattress, dock repair with contact with water) and limited contact (fishing, use of watercraft (kayak, pontoon boat, canoe, dinghy, sailboat) not involving launching) with lake water, the duration and location of contact, head immersion and water ingestion.

Daily surface water samples were collected at littoral stations (five: LW-MB; four: LR). Limnetic samples were also collected twice a week (one station: LR; two stations: LW-MB). For days without sample and other missing data (technical problems), values were interpolated for each day based on the chronologically closest values measured. For endotoxins, 23% of the samples were interpolated (limnetic: 81%; littoral: 2%).

We used frozen filters (-80 °C) from these samples to document the presence of endotoxins. Endotoxins were determined by a Limulus amoebocyte lysate (LAL) test (Charles River Laboratories, Boston, MA).

For respiratory symptoms, two indices were created: upper respiratory tract (runny nose, sore throat) and lower respiratory tract (cough, wheezing). Two indices were also created for highly credible gastrointestinal symptoms: GI1 (diarrhoea [3 loose movements/day], abdominal pain, nausea or vomiting) and an index for more severe symptoms, GI2 (diarrhoea, vomiting, nausea and fever, or abdominal cramps and fever). A six-day symptom-free interval was used to distinguish between episodes. We verified for the presence of contact with the lake on the same day and in the three days prior to the episode for muscle pain and gastrointestinal symptoms, and on the same day and the day prior to the episode for other symptoms. 1

Endotoxin and cyanobacterial counts were slightly correlated (polychoric correlation coefficient = 0.57). Therefore, the analysis of the relationship between health effects and endotoxin concentrations was considered to be pertinent. Poisson regression was used to model the incidence of the different symptoms in relation to contact with water endotoxins (unexposed and three strata of exposure in tertiles; unexposed and two strata of exposure, the two first tertiles versus the third tertile) taking into account age and sex and potential confounders (family income, education, occupation, medical history, drugs, contact with children younger than 5 years old, contact with pets, foreign travel, source of drinking water of the dwelling, domestic treatment of drinking water, bathing in another lake, bathing in a swimming pool) found to be associated with symptoms in bivariate analysis (P \leq 0.1). When appropriate, trend tests were calculated using orthogonal contrasts. It was not possible to examine symptoms by type of contact (full or limited) in relation to concentrations in three strata (tertiles) due to the small sample size.

A total of 267 families and 466 subjects (245 women, 221 men) were included in the study (LW, 95 families, 155 participants; LR, 83 families, 150 participants; MB, 89 families, 161 participants; age distribution: 0–20 years: 11%; 21–40 years: 12%; 41–60 years: 39%; >60 years: 38%). For the drinking water source of the dwellings, we identified households with a source at risk of cyanobacterial or faecal contamination. Twenty families were supplied by a water treatment plant collecting water in MB in an area contaminated by cyanobacteria and 18 used a source at risk of faecal contamination (surface well, 15; directly in the lake, 3). Others were

considered not at risk (artesian wells, municipal and private water plants with an adequate treatment and with a source not at risk of contamination by cyanobacteria).

Median endotoxin concentrations were lower for limnetic stations than for littoral stations. For LR, endotoxin concentrations were generally higher (littoral: median = 57 endotoxin (EU)/mL, range: 13-437 EU/mL; median = 22 EU/mL, range: 12-42 EU/mL) than in LW (littoral: median = 30 EU/mL, range: 0.72-109 EU/mL; limnetic: median = 16 EU/mL, range: 9-42 EU/mL). However, they were particularly high in MB for littoral stations (littoral: median = 375 EU/mL, range: 16-7683 EU/mL; limnetic: median = 25 EU/mL, range: 7-326 EU/mL). As a whole, there was much more variation in littoral stations than in limnetic stations. Considering that most of the interpolated results were for limnetic stations, these results are reassuring concerning the precision of the interpolated data.

None of the symptoms other than gastrointestinal were associated with exposure to endotoxins and there was no medical consultation following these episodes. Unfortunately, due to the limited number of episodes, it was not possible to verify the relation between GI2 and the concentrations of endotoxin by type of contacts even when stratified in two strata. The multivariate model for GI1 adjusted for age, sex, the residence's water source and the gastrointestinal symptoms reported in the two weeks prior to data collection, showed an increase in the adjusted RRs in relation to the endotoxin concentration for the two types of contact (full contact: two first tertiles (<48 EU/ml), RR = 0.47 (95% CI = 0.19-1.16); third tertile (>48 EU/ml), RR = 2.12 (95%) CI = 0.97-4.62); limited contact: two first tertiles (\leq 48 EU/ml), RR = 2.12 (95%CI = 1.17-3.85); third tertile (>48 EU/ml), RR = 3.43 (95%CI = 1.85–6.34)). There was no relationship with the duration of contact, head immersion and water ingestion.

Table 1 presents the relationship between gastrointestinal symptoms and exposure to endotoxins distributed in tertiles. For GI1 and GI2, there was a significant trend of increased RRs in relation to the concentration of endotoxins. We verified the models by including the degree of exposure to cyanobacteria during the contacts and there was almost no effect on the relationship with endotoxins for GI1 (first tertile, RR = 1.32 (95%CI = 0.67-2.59); second tertile, RR = 1.30 (95%CI = 0.62-2.75); third tertile, RR = 3.00 (95%CI = 1.69-5.32)) and for GI2 (first tertile, RR = 0.99 (95%CI = 0.34-2.92); second tertile, RR = 2.00 (95%CI = 0.78-5.09); third tertile, RR = 3.22(95%CI = 1.64-6.32)). As previously documented, the RRs were high for families whose drinking water supply came from MB, especially for GI1, and for families living in houses with water sources at risk of faecal contamination. Moreover, there was no relationship between gastrointestinal effects and exposure to E coli.1

The LAL test, the most widely used method for endotoxin detection, 5 was used to determine endotoxin concentrations. However, after filtration, the filters of our samples were kept frozen at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for many months. O'Toole et al. showed a 44% mean decline in the concentration of endotoxin in water samples stored at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 weeks compared to samples kept at 4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h. 6 Therefore, the concentrations documented could be underestimated and we think that they should be interpreted on an ordinal basis.

Table 1 — Multivariate models describing the relationship between contact with the lakes and gastrointestinal symptoms taking into account concentrations of endotoxins stratified in tertiles.

| Variables | | GI1 | | GI2 |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|------------|
| | RR | 95% CI | RR | 95% CI |
| Contact with endotoxins (EU/mL) |) | | | |
| No | 1.00* | | 1.00** | |
| T1: <26 EU/mL | 1.37 | 0.70-2.70 | 1.03 | 0.35-3.04 |
| T2: 26 to 48 EU/mL | 1.35 | 0.65-2.80 | 2.06 | 0.83-5.10 |
| T3: >48 EU/mL | 2.87 | 1.62-5.08 | 3.11 | 1.56-6.22 |
| Water source ^a | | | | |
| Not at risk | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| At risk of faecal | 1.85 | 1.03-3.33 | 2.91 | 1.27-6.65 |
| contamination ^b | | | | |
| From MB ^c | 3.02 | 1.35-6.78 | 1.69 | 0.64-4.51 |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Female | 2.66 | 1.62-4.35 | 3.09 | 1.58-6.06 |
| Symptoms ^d | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| Yes | 4.20 | 2.48-7.09 | 5.19 | 2.49-10.78 |
| Age ^e | 1.00 | 0.99-1.01 | 1.01 | 0.99-1.03 |

- *Significant trend, P = 0.002.
- **Significant trend, P = 0.004.
- ^a Residence's water source.
- b 18 families used a source at risk of fecal contamination (surface well, 15; directly in the lake, 3) for their tap water.
- ^c 20 families received their tap water from a treatment plant using Missisquoi Bay water.
- ^d Gastrointestinal symptoms reported in the two weeks prior to data collection.
- ^e Age was examined as a continuous variable.

Other authors used the LAL test on frozen samples to determine endotoxin concentrations in water: Rapala et al. showed endotoxin concentrations generally within the range of 10^2-10^3 EU/mL in dense cyanobacterial bloom samples in Finland, using water samples kept frozen at $-20\,^{\circ}\text{C}$; and Berg et al. identified endotoxin concentrations between 10 and 300 EU/mL, with the majority (89%) of the samples with a median at 58 EU/mL, in water samples collected from sites contaminated by cyanobacteria. These results are of the same order of magnitude as the concentrations documented in this study.

For GI1, adjusted RRs increase in relation with the tertiles of endotoxin for the two types of contact, which is a very interesting finding. However, the RRs for limited contact were higher than for full contact. This counterintuitive result could be explained by the precision of the exposure assessment. Blooms of cyanobacteria and endotoxin concentrations are not uniform in lakes. Participants had to identify where they had contact with water. Full contact is easier to identify with relative precision, but for limited contact (e.g. canoeing), which can cover a large area, exposure assessment is less accurate. Moreover, taking into account the difficulty in answering complicated questionnaires for the whole summer, participants had a list of different activities defined as full contact or limited contact (see the fourth paragraph), and they had to indicate only if the contact was full or limited on the questionnaire. Therefore, we were not aware of the specific activity in each category. A posteriori, it is possible that we were not able to sufficiently characterize the contact (full or limited). Nevertheless, a recent study showed a significant risk of gastroenteritis for people having limited contact during water recreational activities. In limited contact, exposure with endotoxins is possible when getting into the boat, by contaminating the hands with the water and then putting them in the mouth or through aerosols over water bodies. For the two types of contact, the RRs increase in relation with endotoxin concentrations. This is reflected by the results presented in Table 1 showing a relationship between gastrointestinal symptoms and endotoxin concentrations with a significant trend test for both GI1 and GI2.

Endotoxins are lipopolysaccharides (LPS) which are cell wall components¹⁰ present in cyanobacteria and Gramnegative bacteria.⁷ Unfortunately, the design of our study did not allow us to determine the proportions of endotoxins related to one or other sources. Gastrointestinal symptoms have been associated with endotoxin exposure,² but Stewart et al. concluded that there was no convincing evidence that LPS is responsible for acute gastrointestinal, dermatological or allergic reactions via natural exposure routes in humans.¹⁰ In our study, the gastrointestinal symptoms were related to the exposure to endotoxins, and adjustment to the level of exposure to cyanobacteria did not alter the relationship. Therefore the hypothesis of a preponderant role of Gram-negative bacteria is attractive.

After measuring endotoxin concentrations in cyanobacterial blooms, some authors speculated that the high concentrations detected could be related to Gram-negative bacteria. 5,7 Moreover, Berg et al. investigated water samples (n=38) from sites affected by cyanobacteria and suspected to have caused health effects, mainly fever and gastrointestinal symptoms. 8 After verifying the presence of Aeromonas virulence genes, often associated with gastrointestinal symptoms, the authors suggested that Aeromonas may be the cause of the reported symptoms.

To our knowledge, this is the first study showing a relationship between exposure to endotoxins in recreational water and gastrointestinal effects. It is possible that the concentration of endotoxins is a proxy of another exposure. More research is needed to determine the role of endotoxins in the gastrointestinal effects related to water exposure.

Author statements

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of Dr. Éric Dewailly, who recently lost his life in a tragic accident. Dr. Dewailly was a leader in environmental health research in the province of Québec (Canada) and an important mentor to many of us.

Many thanks to all the people who participated in data collection, particularly Sabrina Doyon who was responsible for data entry, and to the staff of the laboratories of the Department of Biological Sciences of the Université du Québec à Montréal and of the National Research Council Canada, Energy, Mining and Environment in Montreal. Lastly, we are

grateful for the collaboration of municipal leaders, watershed and citizen committees and the residents and families who generously agreed to participate in this project.

Ethical approval

The protocol was approved by the research ethics committee of the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Quebec and participants signed an informed consent form.

Funding

This project was made possible by a grant from the Fonds de recherche du Québec — Nature et technologies (FT090650).

Competing interest

Nathalie Fortin is the president of 'Conservation Baie Missisquoi', a non-profit group dedicated to the preservation of Missisquoi Bay. Other authors reported no competing interests.

Role of the sponsor

The sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or preparation, review and approval of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Study concept and design: Lévesque, Gervais, Chevalier, Gauvin, Laouan-Sidi, Gingras, Brisson.

Acquisition of data: Lévesque, Gervais, Chevalier, Gauvin, Fortin, Brisson, Greer, Bird.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Lévesque, Gauvin, Laouan-Sidi, Gingras, Fortin, Greer, Bird.

 ${\it Drafting\ the\ manuscript:}\ {\it L\'evesque.}\ {\it Critical\ revision\ by\ other\ authors.}$

Final approval: All authors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lévesque B, Gervais M-C, Chevalier P, Gauvin D, Anassour-Laouan-Sidi E, Gingras S, et al. Prospective study of acute health effects in relation to exposure to cyanobacteria. Sci Tot Environ 2014;466—467:397—403.
- Anderson WB, Slawson RM, Mayfield CI. A review of drinkingwater-associated endotoxin, including potential routes of human exposure. Can J Microbiol 2002;48:567–87.
- 3. Stewart I, Webb PM, Schluter PJ, Fleming LE, Burns JW, Gantar M, et al. Epidemiology of recreational exposure to freshwater cyanobacteria an international prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health 2006;6:93.
- 4. Wiedenmann A, Krüger P, Dietz K, Lopez-Pila JM, Szewzyk R, Botzenhart K. A randomized controlled trial assessing infectious disease risks from bathing in fresh recreational waters in relation to the concentration of Escherichia coli, intestinal enterococci, Clostridium perfringens, and somatic coliphages. Environ Health Perspect 2006;114:228–36.
- Blahova L, Adamovsky O, Kubala L, Svihalkova Sindlerova L, Zounkova R, Blaha L. The isolation and characterization of lipopolysaccharides from Microcystis aeruginosa, a prominent toxic water bloom forming cyanobacteria. Toxicon 2013;76:187–96.
- O'Toole J, Sinclair M, Jeavons T, Leder K. Influence of sample preservation on endotoxin measurement in water. Water Sci Technol 2009;60:1615–9.
- 7. Rapala J, Lahti K, Rasanen LA, Esala A-L, Niemela SI, Sivonen K. Endotoxins associated with cyanobacteria and their removal during drinking water treatment. Water Res 2002;36:2627–35.
- 8. Berg KA, Lyra C, Niemi M, Heens B, Hoppu K, Erkomaa K, et al. Virulence genes of *Aeromonas* isolates, bacterial endotoxins and cyanobacterial toxins from recreational water samples associated with human health symptoms. *J Water Health* 2011;9:670–9.
- Dorevitch S, Pratap P, Wroblewski M, Hryhorczuk DO, Li H, Liu LC, et al. Health risks of limited-contact water recreation. Environ Health Perspect 2012;120:192-7.
- Stewart I, Schluter PJ, Shaw GR. Cyanobacterial lipopolysaccharides and human health – a review. BMC Public Health 2006;5:7.